

Albrary, New Mexico State College.



## UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

## UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN


The person charging this material is responsible for its renewal or return to the library on or before the due date. The minimum fee for a lost item is \$125.00, \$300.00 for bound journals.


Theft, mutilation, and underlining of books are reasons for disciplinary action and may result in dismissal from the University. Please note: self-stick notes may result in torn pages and lift some inks.

Renew via the Telephone Center at 217-333-8400, 846-262-1510 (toll-free) or circlib@uiuc.edu. Renew online by choosing the My Account option at: http://www.library.uiuc.edu/catalog/

NOVE A MOUR

4 -• **7** (\*) ----•






# UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS Agricultural Experiment Station

BULLETIN No. 137

# A STUDY OF FACTORS INFLUENCING THE COMPOSITION OF BUTTER

BY CARL E. LEE, NELSON W. HEPBURN AND JESSE M. BARNHART



URBANA, ILLINOIS, SEPTEMBER, 1909

#### SUMMARY OF BULLETIN No. 137

- 1. There is a variation in the water content, ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 percent, between different samples representing the same butter. The average variation is about 0.5 of one percent.
- 2. There was no variation in water content between half worked and worked butter or after the third revolution of the churn until working was completed.
- 3. There was no difference in composition of samples taken from the middle or either end of the churn.
  - 4. The percent of water in butter is affected by the make of churn.
- 5. There was no difference in composition of butter made from cream held 1 to 3 hours and that held 12 to 15 hours at churning temperature.
- 6. Butter of the same composition can be made from either pasteurized or unpasteurized cream.
- 7. Dry and wet salting methods are identical as far as composition is concerned.
- 8. Churning of butter washed with water, differing ten degrees in temperature, produced butter with an average difference in water content in 40 comparisons of 1.99 percent.
- 9. In churning 7241.16 pounds of butter fat in 56 different churnings; according to analyses of samples taken from 108 tubs, 7154.43 pounds of fat were recovered in the butter, giving a difference of 1.23 percent.
- 10. In another comparison covering a period of two months the butter fat delivered according to the testing of 1494 deliveries of milk and cream was 17,995.84 pounds; according to the test of the 40 different vats of cream before starter was added 17,863.83 pounds; according to test after starter was added 17,853.84 pounds of butter fat were churned in the 80 churnings. This made a total of 21,123 pounds of butter. According to analysis of one sample taken from each churning 17,668.6 pounds of butter fat were recovered. According to samples taken from four tubs packed from each churning 17,851.4 pounds of butter fat were recovered. Difference between butter fat churned according to test after starter was added and analyses of tub samples was 0.07 percent.
- 11. The approximate composition of a quantity of butter may be obtained by the analysis of a sample from any tub of that butter.
- 12. In terms of averages, samples taken from the butter in the churn will contain nearly one percent more moisture than samples taken from the butter in the tubs. The same decrease is true of samples taken from tubs of butter before and after storage.
- 13. Two lots of butter each represented by 40 churnings, two tubs of butter from each churning, with an average difference in water content of 1.99 percent were identical in quality.
- 14. It is possible to make butter from day to day of uniform and desired composition.

# A STUDY OF FACTORS INFLUENCING THE COMPOSITION OF BUTTER

BY CARL E. LEE, ASSISTANT CHIEF, DAIRY MANUFACTURES,
NELSON W. HEPBURN, ASSISTANT DAIRY MANUFACTURES AND JESSE M.
BARNHART, ASSISTANT CHEMIST, DAIRY HUSBANDRY.

#### INTRODUCTION

A study of the factors influencing the composition of butter is of importance, not alone on account of its relation to science, but also because of the practical application the knowledge derived from such a study, bears to the creamery industry.

The control of the composition of butter is of great value to the producer, manufacturer, and butter dealer. The dairymen who make and sell dairy butter are directly interested in quality and they should be interested in composition in so far as it might affect their net re-

turns.

All milk producers who are share-holders, or dispose of their milk and cream to coöperative creameries, are interested in both quality and composition, because the price paid for butter fat by these concerns is largely regulated by these two factors. Owners of stock or individual creameries should be interested in the quality of butter yet the question of composition should not be overlooked since both play a large part in making a plant successful. As a rule the price these creameries pay the producer is regulated by market butter quotations and not the net returns for a given amount of butter fat made into butter. It is evident, therefore, that aside from quality a knowledge of the control of the fat content in the finished butter involves a financial problem. Naturally the percent of salt in butter must be regulated by the demand of the consumer, hence it is to the creamerymens' interest to comply with such demand even tho it may affect the total number of pounds of butter made.

A certain amount of water is necessary to make butter mechanically perfect. However, this does not mean that the percent of water must come within very narrow limits. The law sets the maximum amount at 16 percent. While it is true that two lots of butter varying 2 to 4 percent in water content can in a measure be distinguished when the body is comparatively uniform, the general appearance of a package or quantity of butter is not a sure indication of its composition. The dealer has a right to demand butter of such composition that it will safely be within the limits of the law and of such a texture

that it will permit handling without a great deal of shrinkage.

Those who have made a study of manufacturing butter know that composition will vary with local, seasonal, and other conditions, unless these factors affecting butter fat are overcome.

In addition to studying some of the factors influencing the composition of butter, it seemed wise to collect samples from the market for analysis which would furnish data for comparisons between different markets, states, and factories. These samples were no doubt fairly representative of the butter received on the Aurora, Elgin, and Chicago markets, during the seasons of 1907 and 1908. Results of analyzing these samples of market butter are reported in another bulletin.

#### SAMPLING BUTTER

A study was made of the uniformity in composition of various samples taken from the same churning or package. It is apparent that in taking a sample of butter from the tub more or less water is forced to the top of the package as the trier is inserted. This free brine, as a rule, is picked up by the surface of the plug and trier when it is removed. In transferring the butter from the trier to the sampling jar all the free water adhering to the butter is collected with the sample. It is difficult to say whether or not this method of sampling is to be relied upon. However, it is the only method that can be followed without defacing the package. After the trier of butter is drawn and the sample taken, the top two inches is replaced, thus leaving the surface of the package in the same condition as if it had been examined for quality only.

It is noted that the amount of brine forced to the surface varies with the condition of the butter. When the butter is in a very soft condition, little brine is forced out and at the same time less water is seen on the trier. Storage or frozen butter shows no visible water either on the top of the tub or on the trier plug. Butter commonly found in the commission house did show this free water. It seemed, therefore, reasonable to sample the butter in that condition and at the same time carry on investigational work to ascertain the accuracy of this method of sampling.

May 10, 1907, a churning of 242 pounds of butter was printed in a Lusted printer. Before any of the butter was taken from the churn, the surface was cut off, and a sample taken from various places in the

the surface was cut off, and a sample taken from various places in the churn, and mixed in one sampling jar. This sample contained 14.05 percent of water. From each tray of 25 pounds the center one pound print was removed and a portion of it placed in a sampling jar. It was found that the sample from tray 1 contained 13.25 percent of water and the analysis of the other nine samples gave the following results: Sample No. 2, 13.41; No. 3, 13.05; No. 4, 13.38; No. 5, 13.21; No. 6, 13.01; No. 7, 13.68; No. 8, 12.99; No. 9, 13.21; and sample No. 10, 13.25 percent. Average for the 10 samples taken from the one pound prints of butter was 13.24 or 0.31 of one percent less water than was found in the samples taken from the churn. The butter made three days later was sampled in the same manner. Samples taken from the churn contained 13.64 percent of water. The average

percent of water, of the seven samples taken from the butter after it was printed was 13.27. The highest water content, 13.96 percent, was in sample from tray No. 5 and lowest 12.71, from tray No. 4.

May 15 all of the cream received was churned in two different churnings. The method of making and sampling the butter was the same as in the two previous lots. The sample taken from the butter in the first churn contained 13.74 percent water. The average of samples from seven trays 13.39 percent; highest water content 13.84 from tray No. 3; lowest 12.83 percent from tray No. 4. The samples taken from churn 2 contained 13.39 percent of water. The average water content of the samples from the seven different trays was 13.37 percent; highest 13.57 from tray No. 5 and lowest 13.05 percent from tray No. 1, indicating clearly that there is a variation in the water content of samples of butter taken from the same churning.

A comparison was made to determine whether there was any greater variation in sampling the butter in the churn by means of a trier or a spatula. The following data were obtained from one day's churning. The butter in churn 1 was worked 20 revolutions of the churn. Four samples were taken, two with a spatula and two with a trier. Spatula samples contained 13.26 and 13.24 percent water, respectively, and trier samples, 13.66 and 14.28 percent. One-half of the butter was taken out and printed with a Lusted printer into 125 one-pound prints. From each tray of 25 pounds the two center prints were removed for analysis. From one of these prints a sample was taken by means of a trier, and from the other the sample was made by cutting off one inch of the butter from each end, and one-half an inch from each side. The remainder of the pound print was placed in the sample jar, the analysis of which gave the following results:

Tray No.	Percent water Trier sample		Tray No.	Percent water Trier sample	
1	14.10	13.43	4	13.30	13.30
2	13.92	13.11	5	14.32	13.25
3	13.53	13.79			
Average	e five samples			13.83	13.37

The remainder of the butter in the churn was overworked ten revolutions. None of the water was allowed to drain out. The method of printing and sampling was the same as above.

	Percent water Trier sample		Tray No.	Percent water Trier sample	
1	13.48	13.50	4	13.40	13.44
2	13.37	13.45	5	13.29	13.55
3	13.16	13.31			
Average	five samples			13.34	13.31

The average water content in the ten one-pound prints of normal worked butter was 13.60 and the overworked 13.38 percent. The average water content of the 20 samples analyzed was 13.49 percent; the highest 14.32 and the lowest 13.11 percent. The average water content in the ten samples taken directly from the churn was 13.64; the

highest 14.28 and the lowest 13.24 percent. The average of all sam-

ples taken from the churn was 13.50 percent.

The remainder of the cream delivered on that day was handled in the same manner except that no water was added to the butter, in the churn, after salting. The method of sampling was the same as in the above churning. The amount of water in the samples taken from the worked butter in the churn with the spatula was 12.70 and 13.14 percent, and with the trier 12.48 and 12.67 percent.

	Samples of w	orked butter	Samples of overworked butter			
Tray No.	Percent water Trier sample	Percent water Print sample	Percent water Trier sample	Percent water Print sample		
1	13.09	12.36	12.88	12.88		
2	12.62	12.93	12.94	12.92		
3	12.87	13.89	13.03	12.82		
4	12.99	12.78	13.07	13.16		
5	12.81	13.16	13.52	12.77		
Average	12.87	13.02	13.09	12.91		

The average water content in the ten samples of worked butter was 12:93 and that of the overworked butter 13.02 percent. The highest percent of water in a single sample was 13.89 and the lowest 12:64. Butter was made the same on the following day. Four different samples were taken for analysis. Sample No. 1 from lower end of churn contained 13:38 percent water. Sample No. 2 was taken half way between middle and lower end with 13:30 percent. Sample No. 3 was taken between middle and gear end with 13:44 percent and sample No. 4 was taken from the butter in the gear end with 13:51 percent. The average water content of all samples was 13:39 percent. It will be noted from the above data that there is no more variation in water content due to methods of sampling than there is between several samples taken in the same manner from one churn.

The following day the cream was churned in two lots and four samples taken from different places in the churn.

Chi	ırn 1	Churn 2			
Sample No.	Percent water	Sample No.	Percent water		
1	13.82	1	13.05		
2	13.46	2	13.30		
3	13.86	3	13.52		
4	13.69	4	14.24		
Average	13.66		13.53		

The butter in churn 1 was packed in four sixty pound tubs. These tubs were placed in a refrigerator at a temperature of 35 degrees F., and 24 hours later a trier full of butter was taken from each tub for analysis.

The water content was as follows:

Samples from tub 1, 13.68; tub 2, 13.00; tub 3, 13.52 and tub 4, 13.07 percent.

Twenty days later these four tubs were taken out of the refrigerator and placed in the churn room. The following day when the samples were taken the condition of the butter was similar to that sampled on the market. From each package five samples of butter were removed by means of a trier.

Table 1. Water content, percent. Samples taken from four different Tubs of the same Churning.

Sample No.	Tub 1	Tub 2	Tub 3	Tub 4
1	13.66	13.24	13.71	12.54
2	13.59	12.47	13.46	12.65
3	13.46	13.07	13.27	13.08
4	13.37	13.15	13.52	12.88
5	13.67	12.76	12.99	12.86
Average	13.55	12.94	13.39	12.80

The average water content in the 20 samples taken from the four tubs was 13.17 percent; highest 13.71 and lowest 12.47 percent.

Several churnings were handled in a manner to give variation in the composition of the butter. Samples were then taken by means of a spatula from various portions of the churn and placed in separate sample jars.

The following is an example of two consecutive churnings showing variation in composition of samples of butter from the same churn.

TABLE 2. VARIATION IN NINE SAMPLES FROM SAME CHURN

	Churn 1	l, High v	vater		Churn 2, Low water			
Sample		Per	cent		Percent			
No.	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein
1	15.87	79.35	3.81	0.97	14.76	82.29	2.17	0.78
2	16.36	78.17	4.43	1.05	14.32	.82.72	2.40	0.56
3	15.43	79.87	3.72	1.07	14.23	82.75	2.28	0.74
4	16.41	78.56	4.17	0.86	14.83	81.96	2.63	0.58
5	16.11	78.98	4.15	0.75	14.39	82.57	2.26	0.78
6	16.77	77.86	4.55	0.82	14.95	81.77	2.24	1.04
7	15.60	79.02	4.41	0.97	14.54	82.33	2.09	1.04
8	15.47	79.79	3.62	1.12	14.36	82.59	2.07	0.98
9	15.48	79.68	3.88	0.96	13.84	83.33	1.96	0.87
Average	15.94	79.00	-4.08	0.95	14.47	82.48	2.23	0.82

A sample made by taking butter from various places in the churn is fairly representative of the butter in question and the analysis of such a sample is a fair approximation of its chemical content.

#### Composition of Half Worked and Worked Butter

It has been the practice for some time to work the butter continuously to the extent of 12 revolutions in the Victor churn and 18 in the Disbrow. When the butter had been worked 6 revolutions in the Victor churn and 9 in the Disbrow it was considered half worked.

In twenty-three consecutive churnings when the butter was half

worked a sample was taken by means of a spatula from several places in the churn. A sample was also taken, in like manner, when the butter in the same churn was completely worked.

TABLE 3. WATER IN HALF WORKED AND WORKED BUTTER

	Percent	of water		Percent	of water		Percent	of water
Churn No.	Half worked butter	Worked butter	Churn No.	Half worked butter	Worked butter	Churn No.	Half worked butter	Worked butter
1	15.14	15.27	9	13.22	13.40	17	13.38	14.05
2	13.76	14.27	10	13.99	13.27	18	13.17	13.30
3	14.51	13.24	11	13.51	13.76	19	13.41	13.64
4	13.92	13.41	12	13.60	13.22	20	13.17	13.30
5	13.41	13.73	13	14.19	13.64	21	14.48	13.74
6	13.41	14.18	14	14.16	13.68	22	13.49	13.39
7	13.17	13.60	15	13.66	13.79	23	14.38	13.53
8	13.17	13.60	16	14.08	14.03			
Average	е						13.76	13.70

The variation was no greater than if the two samples had been taken from the worked butter.

CHANGE IN COMPOSITION MADE BY EACH REVOLUTION IN WORKING

Five consecutive churnings were used in this experiment. The samples of butter in each case were taken as uniformly as possible. Sample No. 1 was taken when the butter had been worked to the extent of 3 revolutions of the churn and sample No. 10 when worked twelve revolutions.

TABLE 4. COMPOSITION OF SAMPLES TAKEN AFTER EACH REVOLUTION

Sam-			Chur	n 1			Chur	n 5		
ple	Revo- lutions		Perc	ent		Percent				
No.		Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	
1	3	13.92	83.82	1.58	0.68	13.38	83.30	2.51	0.81	
2	4 5	14.31	83.26	1.71	0.72	13.66	82.61	2.92	0.81	
2 3	5	14.17	83.58	1.53	0.72	13.41	83.41	2.57	0.81	
4 5	6	14.59	83.07	1.73	0.51	13.26	83.13	2.74	0.87	
5	7	14.67	82.81	1.68	0.84	13.66	82.67	2.83	0.82	
6 7	8	14.84	82.77	1.62	0.77	13.41	83.02	2.78	0.79	
7	9	14.26	83.51	1.54	0.69	13.82	82.40	2.81	0.97	
8	10	14.75	82.79	1.62	0.84	13.63	82.63	2.81	0.93	
9	11	14.24	83.41	1.56	0.79	13.97	82.32	2.89	0.82	
10	1 2	14.94	82.72	1.67	0.67	13.63	82.71	2.92	0.74	
Ave		14.48	83.17	1.62	0.72	13.58	82.79	2.78	0.84	
Granul	lar	16.87	82.43	0.06	0.64	17.12	81.78	0.46	0.64	
Highes	st					13.97	83.41	2.92	0.97	
Lowes	t					13.26	82.32	2.51	0.74	

AVERAGE COMPOSITION OF THE SAMPLES OBTAINED FROM THE FIVE CHURNINGS

14.36	82.03	2.70	0.88
Avera	age of the highest sa	mples from each ch	ırning
14.90	82.89	2.93	1.09
Avera	ige of the lowest san	nples from each ch	ırning
13.74	81.46	2.44	0.70

In all of these five churnings the variation in composition of the samples taken from each revolution of churn showed no greater difference than if all had been taken from the finished butter.

Table 5. Composition of Samples taken from Upper, Middle, and Lower End of Churn, percent.

	Upj	per end	`		Middle		Lo	wer en	d
Date	Water	Fat	Salt	Water	Fat	Salt	Water	Fat	Salt
Nov. 27	14.45 14.96	81.20 80.55	2.87	14.89 14.88	80.59 80.52	3.66 3.77	14.92 15.02	81.12 81.26	3.10 2.99
Average	14.71	80.88	3.25	14.89	80.56	3.71	14.97	81.19	3.05
Nov. 29	13.35 12.78 13.24	82.92 83.61 82.95	2.89 2.78 2.92	13.07 13.19 12.75	83.50 83.16 83.81	2.85 2.88 2.73	13.86 13.43 12.77	82.47 82.94 83.64	2.93 2.79 2.69
Average	13.12	83.16	2.86	13.00	83.49	2.82	13.28	83.02	2.80
Nov. 30	15.45 15.02 14.92	81.05 80.37 80.45	3.59 3.84 3.77	14.38 14.03 15.01	81.18 81.73 80.34	3.49 3.39 3.62	14.43 14.76 14.47	81.09 80.28 80.93	3.57 3.93 3.71
Average	15.13	80.62	3.77	14.48	81.08	3.50	14.55	80.77	3.73
Dec. 2	15.48 14.93 15.38	79.17 80.02 79.02	4.32 4.03 4.40	13.56 14.53 14.21	80.89 79.41 79.87	4.66 4.89 4.89	14.84 14.52 15.26	79.19 79.44 78.34	5.09 4.98 5.06
Average	15.26	79.40	4.25	14.10	80.06	4.81	14.87	78.99	5.04
Dec. 4	14.81	80.53	3.75	14.73	80.69	3.65	14.91	79.87	4.34
Dec. 9	13.55 13.23 13.51	84.57 84.99 84.30	1.39 1.62 1.39	13.34 13.92 14.09	84.48 83.81 83.57	1.30 1.37 1.45	13.96 13.74 13.92	83.93 84.43 83.88	
Average	13.43	84.63	1.47	13.78	83.95	1.37	13.54	84.08	1.36
Gen. Àv	14.41	81.54	3.22	14.16	81.64	3.31	14.35	81.32	3.39

#### ABNORMAL BUTTER

Date	Upper end	Middle	Lower end	Date	Upper end	Middle	Lower end
	Water	Water	Water		Water	Water	Water
Oct. 16	20.06 19.95 20.27	20.24 19.93 19.96	20.40 21.05 20.67	Nov. 19	23.71 23.49 23.51	22.33 22.51 22.72	22.83 22.28 22.88
Av	20.09	20.04	20.71		23.57	22.52	23.00

From the foregoing table it is seen that there are no special places in the churn where the butter is found differing uniformly from the average churn composition.

#### Composition of Butter Made in two Different Churns

The two churns used in this experiment were not of the same size but the amount of cream churned in each one was in proportion to the capacity. All the details in the operations of the two churns were as nearly alike as possible.

Table 6. Variation in Composition of Butter from two Different Churns Comparison 1

.		Chur	n A			Chur	n B			
Sample No.		Pero	ent		Percent					
1,0.	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein		
1	12.83	84.55	1.77	0.85	15.85	80.82	2.68	0.85		
3	13.17 14.10	84.15 83.15	$\frac{1.85}{2.06}$	0.83	14.82 14.82	82.10 82.00	$\frac{2.36}{2.33}$	$0.72 \\ 0.85$		
4	13.13	84.15	1.87	0.85	15.67	81.01	2.59	0.73		
5	12.85	84.57	1.82	0.76	13.62	83.58	1.97	0.83		
6	13.74	83.54	1.89	0.83	14.65	82.25	2.19	0.91		
7	13.40	84.02	1.88	0.70	14.55	82.30	2.20	0.95		
8	13.39	84.02	1.56	1.03	14.88	81.99	2.54	0.67		
9	13.69	83.60	1.98	0.73	14.61	82.13	2.22	1.04		
10	13.08	84.18	1.85	0.89	14.77	82.17	2.21	0.85		
Av	13.34	83.99	1.85	0.81	14.82	82.00	2.33	0.84		
Highest	14.10	84.57	2.06	1.03	15.85	83.58	2.68	1.04		
Lowest	12.83	83.15	1.56	0.69	13.62	80.82	1.97	.0.67		

#### Comparison 2

		Chur	n A			Churn	В		
Sample No.		Perc	ent		Percent				
110.	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	
1 2 3 4 5	13.14 13.85 14.04 13.04 13.58	83.57 82.69 82.62 83.75 82.98	2.45 2.57 2.69 2.32 2.47	0.84 0.89 0.85 0.89 0.97	14.49 15.42 14.62 15.01 14.73	82.15 80.69 81.74 80.99 81.36	2.45 2.80 2.73 3.08 2.88	0.94 1.09 0.86 0.92 1.03	
Av	13.53		2.50	0.85	14.85	81.38	2.79	0.97	
Highest	14.04		2.69	0.97	15.42	82.15	3.08	1.09	
Lowest	13.04		2.32	0.85	14.49	80.69	2.45	0.86	

#### AVERAGE FOR EACH OF THE FIVE COMPARISONS

		Chur	n A			Churn B					
Compari- son		Perc	ent		Percent						
	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein			
1	13.34 13.53	83.99	1.85	0.81	14.82	82.00 81.88	2.33	0.84			
3	13.50	83.44	2.04	1.00	14.83 14.65	82.52 81.68	1.74	0.90			
5	14.04	83.01	2.24	0.70	15.09	81.10	3.10	0.71			
Av	13.56	83.25	2.30	0.85	14.84	81.73	2.55	0.86			

Only two comparisons are given entire, but the average for the other three are included in the final average.

# Composition of Butter as Influenced by Time the Cream is Held at Churning Temperature

During the months of May and June, 1907, a series of experiments were carried on, in which butter made from cream held one to three hours was compared with butter made from the same grade of cream held twelve to fifteen hours at churning temperature. Twenty-six vats of cream were used, making a total of fifty-two churnings. One tub of butter was packed from each of the first four churnings, while two tubs were packed from each of the other forty-eight. Samples of butter were taken for analysis from the churn and from the tub before and after storage.

Table 7. Composition of Butter as Influenced by time the Cream is Held at Churning Temperature—26 Churnings

		Samples taken from									
		ter in t churn	he		tubs be			tubs a	fter		
	F	Percent		F	Percent		F	Percent			
	Water	Fat	Salt	Water	Fat	Salt	Water	Fat	Salt		
From cream held 1 to 3 hours.		82.32	3.08	12.99	83.39	2.91	12.04	84.56	2.63		
From cream held 12 to 15 hours.		82.59	2.82	12.83	83.85	2.57	11.88	85.01	2.33		

This table indicates that the length of time the cream is held at churning temperature is not a factor in controlling composition.

## COMPOSITION OF BUTTER FROM PASTEURIZED AND UNPASTEURIZED CREAM

A series of experiments were carried on in 1907 and 1908 to determine the relation of pasteurization to quality of butter. The first year the butter from twenty-six churnings of pasteurized cream was compared with the butter made from the same number of churnings of unpasteurized cream.

In the fourteen comparisons the cream for each day's experiment was all mixed in one vat, one half of this was pasteurized and placed in a ripener, the other half was placed in the ripener, unpasteurized. For two days, the cream in each ripener was churned in a single churning. On the other twelve days the cream in each ripener was divided and churned in two churnings, making a total of four churnings each day for twelve days and two churnings a day for two days.

Two tubs of butter were packed from each churning, making a total of 104 tubs for the two lots, or 52 tubs of butter made from pasteurized cream, compared with fifty-two tubs of butter made from unpasteurized cream. Samples for analysis were taken from the churn and from the tubs before and after storage. The averages of the results obtained by analyzing these samples are shown in the following table:

Table 8. Composition of Butter Made from Pasteurized and Unpasteurized Cream—26 Churnings each

	U	npasteurize	d		Pasteurized	<u> </u>	
	Sam	ıples taken	from	Samples taken from			
	Churn	52 tubs before storage	Same tubs after storage	Churn	52 tubs before storage	Same tubs after storage	
Water Fat Salt	14.42 81.67 3.07	13.31 82.99 2.87	12.24 84.39 2.56	13.63 82.69 2.98	12.66 83.98 2.61	11.76 85.04 2.39	

The butter fat in each individual sample taken from tub, before storage, is also recorded in Table 18.

The above table also indicates the change in composition due to the length of time the butter was held before samples were taken.

In 1908, samples of butter for analyses were taken from forty churnings, made from pasteurized cream. Twenty of these churnings were made to contain a high percent of water and low percent of fat. In the other twenty churnings the butter contained low water and high

fat. For churning record see Table 12.

Twenty-four hours after churning, the samples of butter were taken from four tubs packed from each churn, making a total of 80 samples taken from tubs of pasteurized butter, containing high water and low fat, and 80 from butter of a low water and high fat content. Samples were again taken from the butter in two of these tubs from each churning after six to seven months in storage. In like manner, samples were taken from the butter made from corresponding lots of

unpasteurized cream.

Results of analyzing each of these samples are recorded in Table 13. Samples from churn 1, tubs 201 and 203, 401 and 403 before storage, and tubs 201 and 203 after storage, represent high water and low fat butter; churn 2, tubs 202, 204, 402 and 404 before storage, and 202 and 204 after storage represent the low water and high fat butter made from the same vats of cream pasteurized. Churn 3, tubs 205, 207, 405 and 407 before storage, and 205, 207 after storage; churn 4, tubs 206, 208, 406 and 408 before storage, and 206, 208, after storage represent the high water and low fat, and low water and high fat butter from unpasteurized cream. Each division of four churzs represents a complete comparison.

Table 9 gives the comparison of the water, fat, and salt content separately for the two kinds of butter made from pasteurized and un-

pasteurized cream.

[September,

326

Table 9. Influence of Pasteurization of Cream upon Composition of Butter.

Comparing the Butter on basis of percent of Water in the High Water and Low Fat Content Butter—20 churnings

Churn No.		Samples ken from churn		from	s taken 4 tubs storage	Samples taken from 2 of these tubs after storage		
No.	Pasteur- ized	Churn No.	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	
1	15.28	3	14.23	13.61	12.99	13.58	12.33	
5	14.98	7	14.71	13.98	13.71	13.17	12.86	
9	15.19	11	15.51	14.31	14.95	14.04	13.56	
13	15.57	15	16.05	14.56	14.62	13.38	13.56	
17	15 05	19	15.13	13.95	13.84	13.23	13.38	
21	15.26	23	14.93	14.03	13.91	13.68	13.05	
25	15.52	27	15.78	15.30	14.03	15.33	13.67	
9	15.0 <del>1</del>	3.	14.62	14.19	14.89	14.04	13.38	
33	15.04	35	14.95	14.71	14.50	13.82	13.97	
37	16.13	39	14.88	15.53	13.90	14.75	12.41	
41	16.64	43	15.37	16.12	15.35	14.39	14.35	
45	15.66	47	15.44	14.77	14.81	14.25	13.84	
49	15.73	51	15.74	15.25	14.95	14.52	14.97	
53	15.55	55	14.59	15.33	14.33	14.13	13.26	
57	15.74	59	16.54	15.58	15.72	13.86	13.32	
61	16.06	63	15.49	15.68	15.13	14.29	13.33	
65	16.15	67	15.15	15.42	15.06	14.55	13.51	
69	15.85	71	14.94	15.38	14.62	13.75	12.84	
73	14.52	75	14.21	15.01	14.27	13.30	12.73	
77	15.15	79	15.22	14.87	14.49	13.93	13.35	
Av	15.50		15.12	14.87	14.49	13.93	13.35	
Dif	0.38			0.38		0.58		

TABLE 9-Continued

Percei	nt of Wate	r in Lov	w Water ar	nd High F	ι Content	Butter—20	churnings	
		Samples ken fro churn			s taken 1 tubs storage	Samples taken from 2 of these tubs after storage		
Churn No.	Pasteur- ized	Churn No.	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	
6	13.09 13.09	4 8	12.75 13.97	12.25 12.34	11.69 12.49	11.16 11.51	11.13 11.34	
10 14 18	12.87 13.29 12.80	12 16 20	14.42 13.87 13.22	12.17 12.20 11.82	12.39 12.69 12.17	11.30 11.77 11.41	12.63 11.71 11.48	
22 26	13.10 13.30	24 28	13.43 14.15	12.16 12.76	12.52 13.35	11.61 11.39	11.48 11.53	
30 34	12.97 12.26	32 36	13.24 13.20	12.54 12.09	12.98 12.64	11.36 11.46	11.63 11.80	
38 42 46	12.88 12.69	40 44 48	13.37 12.59	12.21 12.10 12.30	11.23 13.15	11.28 10.56	10.88 12.01	
50 54	13.50 13.89 13.22	52 56	13.32 13.31 13.31	12.30 12.97 13.26	11.96 12.84 12.90	11.17 12.03 11.86	10.06 $11.74$ $13.01$	
58 62	13.01 14.49	60 64	13.48 13.39	12.65 13.70	13.12 13.18	11.65 12.25	12.26 11.89	
66 70	14.14 13.59	68 72	12.94 13.21	13.16 12.87	12.92 12.95	11.79 11.68	11.32 11.45	
$\frac{74}{78}$	$\frac{12.80}{13.59}$	76 80	13.08	12.55	12.75	11.35	11.53	
Av	13.22		$\frac{13.42}{0.20}$	$\frac{12.59}{0.07}$	12.52	11.53	$\frac{11.67}{0.14}$	

TABLE 9-Continued

Comparing the Butter on the Basis of Percent of Fat in the High Water and Low Fat Content Butter

Churn		Samples ken fror churn		from	es taken 4 tubs storage	Samples taken from 2 of these tubs after storage		
No.	Pasteur- ized	Churn No.	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	
1	80.81	3	82.66	83.24	84.29	83.04	84.97	
5	81.03	7	82.12	82.58	83.02	83.44	84.08	
9	80.51	11	80.42	82.13	81.16	82.20	82.85	
13	80.06	15	80.33	81.43	82.13	82.63	83.25	
17	80.42	19	80.63	81.93	82.23	82.61	82.84	
21	81.15	23	82.12	82.84	83.50	83.08	84.34	
25	81.25	27	80.75	81.47	82.91	82.61	83.33	
29	80.88	31	82.52	81.98	82.16	82.12	83.96	
33	81.38	35	82.31	81.59	82.80	82.79	83.30	
37	80.06	39	81.98	81.07	83.40	82.00	84.93	
41	79.59	43	81.60	80.40	81.72	82.38	82.74	
45	80.89	47	81.60	81.88	82.29	82.42	83.23	
49	80.29	51	80.42	80.94	81.51	81.63	81.24	
53	81.61	55	82.83	81.84	83.19	83.24	84.40	
57	81.05	59	79.18	81.03	80.11	83.03	83.12	
61	80.39	63	81.38	80.73	81.89	82.51	83.80	
65	80.67	67	82.02	81.23	82.31	82.52	83.98	
69	81.02	71	82.22	81.19	82.48	83.59	84.78	
73	82.67	75	81.89	81.79	81.84	81.13	83.79	
77	82.26	79	83.46	82.27	82.02	84.02	85.02	
Av	80.89		81.62	81.68	82.35	82.80	83.69	
Dif			0.73		0.67		0.89	

TABLE 9—Continued

Percent Fat in the Low Water and High Fat Content Butter

Churn		Samples iken fro churn		Sample from before		Samples taken from 2 of these tubs after storage		
No.	Pasteur- ized	Churn No.	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	
2	83.17	4	84.04	84.33	85.59	85.65	86.19	
6	83.66	8	82.62	84.73	84.69	85.58	86.04	
10	84.15	12	82.24	85.01	83.82	86.02	84.52	
14	83.82	16	83.61	85.26	85.03	85.71	86.21	
18	84.60	20	84.02	85.85	85.33	86.30	86.11	
22	84.46	24	84.22	85.72	85.50	86.17	86.48	
26	84.25	28	\$2.91	85.00	84.01	86.37	86.07	
30	84.65	32	84.34	84.97	84.67	86.40	86.30	
34	85.52	36	84.18	85.68	84.92	86.44	85.87	
38	, 84.18	40	83.25	85.13	84.83	86.16	86.45	
42	84.57	44	83.58	85.34	84.19	86.97	84.87	
46	83.11	48	83.13	84.66	84.72	85.93	87.02	
50	81.06	52	84.10	82.47	84.61	83.71	85.75	
54	84.44	56	84.36	84.38	84.84	85.85	84.52	
58	84.57	60	83.70	84.93	84.09	85.81	84.85	
62	82.18	64	83.85	83.15	84.04	84.79	85.54	
66	82.23	68	84.42	83.69	84.48	85.29	86.46	
70	83.59	72	84.07	84.52	84.41	85.96	86.12	
74	84.42	76	84.58	84.87	85.06	86.42	86.31	
78	83.85	80	84.64	83.57	84.66	85.81	85.85	
Āv	83.82		83.79	84.66	84.67	85.83	85.87	
Dif	0.03				0.01		0.04	

TABLE 9-Continued

Comparing the Butter on the Basis of Percent of Salt in the High Water and Low Fat Content Butter

Churn		Samples ken from churn		Samples from 4 before s	tubs	Samples to 2 of the after s	
No.	Pasteur- ized	Churn No.	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized
1 5	2.77	3 7	2.10 2.37	2.25 2.56	1.78 2.13	2.59	1.79
9	2.97	11	3.00	2.53	2.74	2.87	2.72
13	3.24	15	2.61	3.06	2.36	3.02	2.26
17	3.54	19	3.28	3.14	2.98	3.30	2.97
21	2.65	23	2.03	2.39	1.91	2.51	1.84
25	2.33	27	2.45	2.31	2.15	2.27	2.18
29	3.03	31	1.75	2.91	2.06	3.10	1.78
33	2.65	35	1.82	2.85	1.77	2.58	1.81
37	2.58	39	2.05	2.46	1.77	2.46	1.79
41	2.72	43	2.07	2.47	2.30	2.47	2.08
45	2.82	47	2.35	2.56	2.04	2.51	2.03
49	2.91	51	2.94	2.79	2.61	2.93	2.85
53	2.04	55	1.77	1.89	1.61	1.72	1.46
57	2.51	59	3.50	2.29	3.14	2.18	2.73
61	2.59	63	2.33	2.62	2.17	2.30	1.71
65	2.27	67	1.85	2.10	1.61	1.91	1.58
69	2.19	71	1.99	2.15	1.76	1.71	1.52
73	1.97	75	3.12	2.00	2.91	1.76	1.65
77	1.69	79	1.63	1.58	1.51	1.40	1.29
Av	2.61		2.35	2.45	2.16	2.40	2.05
Dif	0.26			0.29		0.35	

TABLE 9-Continued

P	ercent of S	Salt in t	he Low Wa	ater and Hi	gh Fat Cor	ntent Butte	r	
Churn		Samples ken fro churn	m	Sample from 4 before s	tubs storage	Samples taken from 2 of these tubs after storage		
No.	Pasteur- ized	Churr. No.	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	Pasteur- ized	Unpas- teurized	
2	2.82	4	2.21	2.45	1.84	2.48	1.92	
6	2.30	8	2.10	2.12	1.92	2.20	1.76	
10	2.18	12	2.13	1.92	1.77	1.97	1.94	
14	2.03	16	1.78	1.90	1.51	1.93	1.38	
18	1.76	20	1.93	1.65	1.64	1.66	1.58	
22	1.65	24	1.54	1.58	1.59	1.61	1.30	
26	1.68	28	2.01	1.66	1.82	1.58	1.56	
30	1.67	32	1.47	1.78	1.56	1.54	1.28	
34	: 39	36	1.60	1.71	1.75	1.42	1.49	
38	1.93	40	2.26	1.91	1.99	1.75	1.83	
42	2.05	44	1.81	2.02	1.67	1.79	1.82	
46	2.75	48	2.86	2.17	2.32	2.09	2.00	
50	3.90	52	1.85	3.65	1.66	3.36	1.63	
54	1.79	56	1.68	1.57	1.68	1.50	1.56	
58	1.93	60	2.21	1.78	2.02	1.75	2.02	
62	2.68	64	2.05	2.41	1.86	2.09	1.67	
66	2.69	68	1.88	2.31	1.71	2.07	1.42	
70	1.98	72	1.80	1.78	1.58	• 1.58	1.54	
74	1.78	76	1.55	1.70	1.68	1.50	1.28	
78	1.77	80	1.41	1.66	1.59	1.37	1.23	
Av	2.14		1.91	1.97	1.71	1.86	1.61	
Dif	0.23			0.26	j	0.25		

TABLE 10. GENERAL SUMMARY OF PRECEDING TABLE

		Pasteuri	zed—40 ch	urnings	Unpaster	urized—40 d	hurnings
		Samples taken from Samples taken from					
		Churn	160 tubs before storage	80 tubs after storage	Churn	160 tubs before storage	80 tubs after storage
		High Wa	ater and Lo	w Fat Con	itent Butte	er	
Percent	water fat salt .	15.50 80.89 2.61	14.87 81.68 2.45	13.93 82.80 2.40	15.12 81.62 2.35	14.49 82.35 2.16	13.35 83.69 2.05
	Diffe	erence bet	ween Paste	urized and	Unpasteur	rized Butter	•
Percent	water fat salt .	0.73	0.67	0.89	0.38	0.38	0.58
		Low V	Vater and I	High Fat C	ontent Bu	tter	
Percent	water fat salt .	13.22 83.82 2.14	12.59 84.66 1.97	11.53 85.83 1.86	13.42 83.79 1.91	12.52 84.67 1.71	11.67 85.87 1.61
	Diffe	rence bety	ween Paster	urized and	Unpasteur	rized Butter	
Percent	water fat salt .	0.20	0.01	0.14 0.04	0.03 0.23	0.07	0.25

The unpasteurized butter in 1907 showed a higher water and lower fat content than did the butter from pasteurized cream. In 1908 when methods were employed that should give high and low water respectively, the butter from pasteurized cream contained higher water than the butter from unpasteurized cream. When the method was changed and butter containing high fat and low water was made there was little or no difference in the analysis of pasteurized and unpasteurized butter.

While averages would lead one to draw these conclusions there is no apparent regularity of distribution caused by churning either pasteurized or unpasteurized cream.

The foregoing Table is an excellent indication of what may be secured in uniformity of composition of butter from separate churnings, for with few exceptions, any of the above samples might have been one of ten taken from the same churning.

#### INFLUENCE OF DRY AND WET SALTING UPON COMPOSITION

Dry salting is the term applied to the usual method of salting butter. After the butter in the churn has been washed and the water allowed to drain the dry salt is then sprinkled uniformly over the surface.

Wet salting as here referred to is the method that has been used by this station during the past two years. It differs from the so-called dry salting system in that more salt is required and a definite amount of water per pound of butter in the churn is also added. In either case the rate of salt used per pound of butter does not determine the percent of salt retained in the finished product. Dry salting, presupposes that some of the wash water is retained. This, however, is never constant nor in proportion to the amount of butter in the churn, hence, it is a factor largely responsible for lack of uniformity of salt content obtained by this method. A certain amount of water in the churn during working is necessary to aid in dissolving the salt. If this amount is not in proportion to the butter in the churn it will influence the amount of salt retained. For example, if 30 pounds of water should be left in the churn with the 200 or 600 pounds of butter and in either case salt added, at the rate of one ounce per pound of butter, it is natural that the butter in the 600 pound churn would contain the highest percent of salt, since a smaller percent of the salt is wasted in the form of brine, as previously stated.

By the wet method of salting, the butter is thoroughly drained and a definite amount of salt and water is added per pound of butter.

Thoroly draining the butter, in a measure, overcomes the uncertain amount of water retained in the churn and leaves the relation of salt, butter, and water more definite.

An example of this method of salting is recorded in Table 12. The question naturally arises: What influence does this additional amount of water in the churn, while the butter is being worked, have upon the water and fat content?

Table 11. Churn Record to Show Influence of Dry and Wet Salting on Composition of Butter

			Pounds	Percent				
Churn No.			Salt Water added		Brine left in churn	Water	Fat	Salt
1	1016	243.8	33.5	51	78	15.05	81.80	2.32
2	923	226.3	16.5	not any	35	14.43	91.30	3.42
3	735	180.7	26.2	33	77	14.58	82.40	2.11
4	729	174.9	12.7	not any	37	14.94	82.26	2.01
5	807	229.8	16.5	not any		14.96	81.81	2.43
6	797	227.3	33.0	41		14.41	81.91	2.38
7	815	216.0	15.7	not any	22	14.33	82.14	2.78
8	740	196.1	28.6	36.6	60	13.73	82.38	3.19
9	700	189.0	27.5	34.5	82	14.46	82.35	2.41
0		186.0	13.7	not any	28	14.33	82.93	1.94
1	713	128.3	9.7	not any	50	13.73	84.53	0.93
2	651	117.8	17.1	21	. 62	14.05	83.42	1.80

This method of salting had been in use for some time before it was experimentally compared with dry salting on alternate days. This comparison did not indicate that the method of salting bore any direct relation to the intended water content. A year, or more, later comparisons were made on six consecutive days by dividing all of the cream

in the ripener into two churnings. Care was taken to eliminate all other influencing factors. In each series the butter was allowed to drain alike. To one churn was added one ounce of salt per pound of estimated butter and to the other two ounces of salt and two and one-half ounces of water per pound. The estimated butter was based upon pounds of butter fat churned allowing one-sixth for overrun.

In looking over these results there is a marked degree of uniformity in the water content, in fact, the variation would be no greater had all of the samples been taken from any one of the churnings. This is not so true of the fat and salt. In all the work that has previously been done at this station in studying composition, the data show that if a fixed percent of water is desired it can be obtained regardless of the amount of salt retained in the butter; that is to say, there is no relation between water and salt content. Casein also remains quite constant. It must therefore be noted that if butter is made having a high or low salt content, there must be a corresponding decrease or increase in fat content. Butter having 15 percent of water can be made regardless of whether the operator intends 1 or 5 percent of sa<sup>1</sup>t. Comparing the dry and wet salted butter for each day there is no great difference. The two lots of butter made in churnings 11 and 12 contained a much lower salt content due to the small amount of butter worked, in proportion to salt added, and excessive amounts of the wash water that was drained off, previous to salting. A comparatively uniform salt content can be maintained in various churnings of butter. The grains of salt can be distributed thru the butter regardless of. whether they will dissolve or not. This fact may seem to be insignificant, but it is not a safe policy to make butter even under favorable conditions unless due consideration is given to the dissolving of salt. There is a difference in salt grains; some pass into solution much more readily than others. However, this difference can be reduced to a minimum by having some free water with the butter in the churn at time of working.

It may be observed by looking over Table 12, Churning Record, that the percent of salt was not as uniform as might be desired. It is also true that the ratio of salt and water used was not the same thruout the experiment. In churn number 75 an error was made in the salt calculation and was not discovered until the working was completed.

#### INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE UPON COMPOSITION

In connection with the regular investigation a large amount of data had been collected from time to time that might lead to some definite line of study on temperature as a factor influencing composition. Already some material had been obtained and was accumulating which gave temperature the most prominent place as a factor in controlling composition. Therefore it seemed advisable that a series of experiments be carried out on a large enough scale to furnish data comparable with practical creamery conditions. In connection with the work done in 1908 on comparing butter made from pasteurized

and unpasteurized cream the pasteurized cream for each day was placed in a 200 gallon cream ripener; the remainder of the cream for each comparison was not pasteurized but placed in another ripener, thus giving two different lots of cream from which to also study com-

position.

The pasteurized cream in each of the 20 comparisons was always churned first, in two different churns, and the unpasteurized cream immediately after. In each comparison the cream in the two ripeners was handled to produce butter having a high water and low fat content. The remainder of the cream in each ripener was made into butter of a low water and high fat content. The salt in these four churns was kept as nearly uniform as possible. Churns were numbered in order from 1 to 80. The first churn for each experimental day always contained pasteurized cream to be made into butter of high water and low fat, and second churn filled with the same cream but to be made into the drier butter. Preceding data showed that different makes of churns had an influence on the water content and this fact was taken advantage of in making high and low moisture butter. The only other change made to produce the two lots of butter of different composition, was the temperature of the wash water. However, it would have been possible to have secured a wider variation had the other lines of the experiment permitted a regulation of the temperature of the cream. The wash water was allowed to remain in the churn a sufficient length of time to adjust the temperature of the butter.

SHOWING METHODS USED IN MAKING BUTTER IN DIFFERENT COMPARISONS TABLE 12. CHURNING RECORD.

Weight of cream.         900           Percent fat.         27.           Pounds fat.         243		Unpaste	Unpasteurized Pasteurized	Pasteui		Unpasteurized Pasteurized	urized	Pasten	rized	Unpasteurized	urized	Pasteurized	- 1	Unpasteurize	urized
am	y 6	May	9	May 6	9	May 6	9	May 13	13	May 13	13	May 15	15	May 15	15
1111					029		655	-	578		580	-		1100	556
	203	272	27.	26.5	26.5	27	27.	29.	29.	28.5	28.5	27.	27.	27.	27.
					177.5		8.911	-	167.6		165.3			297	150
			-		0.59		0.59		09.0		09.0			0.57	0.57
	_	_			26		28		54		54			54	54
Hours held cold 15					15		17		15		17			9	9
minutes		_	_		14		14		15		12			15	15
rmilk		_	_		463		450		350		350			750	380
***	_				0.25		0.25		0.18		0.18			0.15	0.15
Pounds fat in " 1.5					1.15		1.12		0.63		0.63			1.12	0.57
			_		57		59		27		57			28	28
ater			_		463		450		350		350			750	380
Temperature wash water 60					53		53		53	_	53			09	48
					26		264		28.		24.			43.	22
nd butter					2 oz.		2 oz.	-	2 oz.		2 oz.			2 oz.	20z.
:					38		40		42		36			65	33
er					3 oz.		3 oz.	-	3 oz.		3 oz.	-		3 oz.	30z.
d	_				18		18		18		18			12	18
er					55		52		55	_	544			200	52
:					214		210		197	_	199.5			356.5	178
1		_			20.5		18.7	_	17.5	_	20.6	_		20.0	18.6
	_	_			6		6		3)	$\sim$	3)	~1 `		(24	5)
Churn used Fictor	_	_			Disbrow		Disbrow	_	Disbrow	_	Disbrow			Victor	Disbrow
Churn number 1					0		, ,		10	_	77			000	220
Tub numbers 201	_		_		210		717		218		777			677	720
					212		216		220	_	224			231	737
					410		414		418		422		_	429	430
403		_	-		412		416		450		424			431	432

Table 12—Continued

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Pasteurized	zed	Unpasteurized	urized	Pasteu	Pasteurized	Unpaste	Unpasteurized		Pasteurized	Umpast	Umpasteurized		Pasteurized	Unpasteurized	urized
28. 28. 28. 28. 28.5 28.5 28.5 28.5 28.5		May 1	6	May	19	May	22	May	22	May	. 26	May 26	- 26	May 29	, 29	May	29
28. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28.5 28.5 28.5 308 167.4 308 164.4 313.5 161.3 313.5 5 6.55 0.55 0.54 0.54 0.52 0.55 0.54 0.54 0.52 0.55 0.54 0.54 0.52 0.55 0.54 0.54 0.52 0.55 0.54 0.54 0.52 0.54 0.52 0.54 0.54 0.52 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54	f cream.				587		566		596	1100	589		574	1100	570		533
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					28.		28.5		28.5	31.5	31.5		31.5	27.	27.	_	27.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					64.4		161.3		169.8	346.5	185.5		180.8	297	154.		144
tes					0.55		0.54		0.52	0.45	0.45	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.55	0.55
tes. 3 3 5 5 3 3 5 5 6 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					52	-	52		53	50	50		52	20	50		52
tes. 17 18 27 23 20 25 740 400 740 400 740 378 740 10.18 0.20 0.12 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.20 0.15 0.18 0.20 0.15 0.18 0.18 0.80 0.55 55 58 58 58 56 58 56 0.00 0.14 0.20 0.10 0.18 0.20 0.14 0.20 0.15 0.14 0.20 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.1	J		_		Ŋ	_	3		Ŋ	9	9		4	9	9		4
740 400 740 400 740 378 740 70	tes				:		20		:	20	:		15	17	:		20
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	:			-	400		378		400	200	360		364	750	390		365
1.33 0.80 0.88 0.52 0.96 053. 1.48  28 59 55.5 55 58 58 58 56  ater. 60 47 60 47 60 47 60  ater. 2 oz. 2 oz. 2 oz. 1 3 oz. 1 3 oz. 1 3 oz. 2 oz. 2 oz. 1 3 oz. 3 o	:				0.13		0.14		0.14	0.23	0.18		0.17	0.13	0.18		0.21
58         59         55.5         55         58         58         56           ater.         740         400         740         440         378         740           ater.         64.9         47         60         47         60         47           ater.         20.         47         60         47         60         40           ater.         20.         20.         20.         20.         14         0.         40           ater.         20.         20.         20.         20.         14         0.         40           ater.         30.         30.         30.         30.         34.         6           ater.         12         18         12         18         12         18           ater.         30.         30.         30.         30.         30.         30.           ater.         376         19.         375.         190         36         194         24.4           ater.         22.1         15.3         21.9         15.5         23.1         22.4         24.4           ater.         25.0         17.         12.4         24.4         24.	:				0.52		053.		0.56	1.61	0.85		0.79	0.97	0.70		0.76
ash water.	:				55		58		55	26	26		59	55	55		59
ash water 60 47 60 47 60 47 60 47 60 47 60 1 1	:				400	-	378		408	200	360		364	750	390	_	366
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ter				47		47		47	28	48		48	48	48	_	48
butter         2 oz.         2 oz.         2 oz.         2 oz.         1 oz.         2 oz.         1 oz.         1 oz.         1 oz.         1 oz.         1 oz.         2 oz.         1 oz.         1 oz.         1 oz.         1 oz.         1 oz.         2 oz.         2 oz.         2 oz.         2 oz. <t< td=""><td>:</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>23.9</td><td></td><td>20.5</td><td></td><td>21.8</td><td>44</td><td>23.7</td><td></td><td>23</td><td>44</td><td>. 20</td><td>_</td><td><math>18\frac{1}{4}</math></td></t<>	:		_		23.9		20.5		21.8	44	23.7		23	44	. 20	_	$18\frac{1}{4}$
o salt. 67.2 36.6 67.2 36. 68 34.6 68   butter 3 oz. 3				-	2 oz.		13 oz.		13 oz.	13 oz.	13 oz.		13 oz.	2 oz.	13 oz.	-	30z.
butter     3 oz.	:			-	36.		34.6		36	92	40.5		40	92	334		31.5
Vorked.         12         18         12         18         12         18         12         18         12         18         12         18         12         18         12         18         12         18         12         18         12         18         12         18         12         18 <t< td=""><td>r</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>3 oz.</td><td>_</td><td>3 oz.</td><td></td><td>3 oz.</td><td>3 oz.</td><td>3 oz.</td><td></td><td>3 oz</td><td>3 oz.</td><td>3 oz.</td><td></td><td>3 oz.</td></t<>	r		_		3 oz.	_	3 oz.		3 oz.	3 oz.	3 oz.		3 oz	3 oz.	3 oz.		3 oz.
butter 61 54 59 52 60 54 58.5  sr 376 193 375.5 190 386 197.5 390  1 22.1 15.3 21.9 15.5 23.1 22.4 24.7  (250) (254) (236) (236)  17 18 19 20 21 22 23  17 18 19 20 21 22 23  235 234 237 238 241 242 245  433 434 437 438 441 442 447	:			_	18	_	18		18	12	18		18	12	18		18
a.     376     193     375.5     190     386     197.5     390       a.     22.1     15.3     21.9     15.5     23.1     22.4     24.4       (250)     (254)     (254)     (236)       r.     17     18     18     21     22     23       r.     17     18     23     23     23     23       r.     233     234     23     240     241     242     245       r.     235     236     239     240     243     244     247       r.     433     434     437     438     441     442     445	ture of butter				52		54		46	29	52		53	28	54		$52\frac{1}{2}$
15.3   21.9   15.5   23.1   22.4   24.4     (250)   (254)   (236)   (236)     17   18   19   20   21   22   23     233   234   237   238   241   242   245     235   236   239   240   243   244   247     433   434   437   438   441   442   445	f				190		197.5		202	424	223		215	358	178		167
(250) (254) (236) (236) (237) (236) (236) (236) (236) (236) (236) (237) (238 (24) (248 (248 (248 (248 (248 (248 (248 (248	J				15.5		22.4		20.7	22.3	20.2		18.9	20.5	15.6		16.
Firtor         Disbrow         Victor         Disbrow         Firtor         Disbrow         Firtor           17         18         19         20         21         22         23           234         237         238         237         24         24         24         24           235         236         239         240         243         244         247           433         434         437         438         441         442         445				u)	4)	$\sim$	(9		(98	(No	ne)		ne)	(21	2)	_	<u>(;</u>
			-	-	Disbrow		Dishrow		Disbrow	Victor	Disbrow		Disbrow	Victor	Disbrow		lishrow
234 237 238 241 242 245 236 239 240 243 244 247 434 437 438 441 442 445					20		22		24	25	26		28	29	30		32
236 239 240 243 244 247 434 437 438 441 442 445	bers			_	238	_	242		246	249	250		254	257	258		262
434 437 438 441 442 445			_		240	-	244		248	251	252		256	259	260		264
					438		442		446	449	450		454	457	458		462
436   439   440   443   444   447			_		440		444		448	451	452		456	459	460		464

Table 12—Continued

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	June 2 June 2 June 3 1100 509 11100 32 32 32 352 162.9 352 0.50 0.50 0.52				rasteurized	Unpasteurized Pasteurized	1		7271	Unpasteurized	nized
1100 515 1100 509 1100  32 32 32  32 164.8 352 162.9 352  0.46 0.46 0.50 0.50 0.50  47 47 50 50 47  50 47 50 50 47  60 320 700 320 700  10 0.08 007 0.10 0.12 0.10  0.08 007 0.10 0.12 0.10  0.08 007 0.10 0.12 0.10  14 54 54 54 57 57  15 0.2 30 700 320  15 0.2 30 700 320  16 0.2 30 20  17 7 3 02. 3 02. 3 02. 3 02.  18 12 18 12 18 12  18 12 18 12 18 12  18 18 12 18 12  18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	1100 509 1100 32 32 32 352 162.9 352 0.50 0.50 0.52		June 5	June 9		June 9		June 12	12	June 12	12
32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 352 352 164.8 352 162.9 352 162.9 352 162.9 352 162.9 352 352 352 352 352 352 352 352 352 352	$\begin{array}{cccc} 32 & 32 & 32 \\ 352 & 162.9 & 352 \\ 0.50 & 0.50 & 0.52 \end{array}$	551 11	571	1100 6		100				1100	580
352 164.8 352 162.9 352  0.46 0.46 0.50 0.50  3 3 3 5 5 6  47 50 50 47  0.08 320 700 320 700  0.08 0.07 0.12 0.12  0.05 0.22 0.70 0.38  0.70 320 700 320  0.58 52 58 52 58  0.70 320 700 320  0.88 52 14 57 57 57  11 0.02 12 0.13 0.14  0.14 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 14 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 14 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.15  1.15 0.15 0.	352 162.9 352 0.50 0.50 0.52	32 32	32 32	32.5	32.5	32.5	32.5	32.	32.	32	32
1,000   1,00	0.50 0.50 0.52	76.3 35	182.7	357.521		57.521				35.2	28.5
47   47   50   50   47   3   3   3   3   5   5   3   3   3   3	1 1	0.52 0.	0.57	0.50		.54 0				0.49	0.40
3   3   5   5   5   3   45   100	50 50 47	47 49	49	51 5		33				5.5	5.1
42   30   35   30   45     700   320   700   320   700     0.56   0.22   0.10   0.13     54   54   57   57   54     700   320   700   320   700     700   320   700   320   700     700   320   700   320   700     700   320   700   320   700     700   320   700   320     700   320   700   700     700   320   700   700     700   320   700   700     700   320   700   700     700   320   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700   700     700   700	5 3	3	ĸ	3						ı.	, r
700 320 700 320 700 320 700 700 0.508 0.07 0.10 0.12 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10	35   30   45	40 45	40	20 2		20				. %	30
0.08 0 0 7 0 1 0 0 1 2 0 1 0 0 1 2 0 1 0 0	700 320 700	340 70	360	680 4		80 4				670	360
0.56   0.22   0.70   0.38   0.70   0.54   54   57   57   54   57   57   54   57   57	0.10 0.12 0.10	0.12 0.	0.15	0.22 0		.27				0.17	0.20
54   54   57   57   54     58   52   58   52     58   52   58   52     58   52   58   52     50   52   58   52     51   52   52   53     52   52   53     52   53   52   30     52   54     53   52   30     53   54     54   54     57   54     57   54     57   54     57   54     57   54     57   54     57   54     57   57     57   57     58   58     58   58     59   59     50   50     50   50     50   50     50   50	0.70 0.38 0.70	0.40 0.	0.54	1.49 0		.83				1.14	0.72
trer. 700 320 700 320 700  tres. 58 52 58 52 58  tr. 13 0z. 13 0z. 13 0z. 13 0z. 13 0z  tr. 3 0z. 3 0z. 3 0z. 3 0z. 3 0z  tr. 57 49 57 53  tr. 57 49 57 53  tr. 57 89 21. 18. 12  tr. 57 49 57 53  tr. 52 8 18.9 21. 18.1 23.  tr. 60 0z. 60 0z. 60 0z. 60  tr.	57 57 54	56 56	26	56 5		57				26	56
tter 58 52 58 52 58  1	700 320 700	340 70	360	680 4		80 4				670	360
rr. 145 21 45 21.5 45 rr. 13 02.13 02.13 02.13 02.13 02 rr. 30 2.3 02.3 30 2.3 02.3 30 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3	58 52 58	52 58	48	58 4		88				000	84
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	45 21.5 45	25.5 45	36.6	45.5 3		5.5				45	27
r	14 oz. 14 oz. 14 oz	2 oz. 13	2 oz.	13 oz. 2		loz. 2				13 OZ.	2oz.
11. 3 oz. 3 oz. 3 oz. 3 oz. 3 oz. 41. 12. 18. 12. 18. 12. 18. 12. 18. 12. 18. 12. 12. 18. 12. 12. 19. 12. 19. 12. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19	77 35.5 77	39 77	40	78 4		78				77	41
1	3 oz. 3 oz. 3 oz	3 oz. 3	3 oz.	3 oz. 3		3 oz. 3				3 oz .	3 02
Tr	12 18 12	18 12	18	12 1		2				12	18
10	57 53 56	55 56	54	58 5		88				58	51
None   18.9   21.   18.1   23.   18.0   18.1   18	426 192.5 433	10.5 42	214	453 25		138 26				132.5	21.5
(None) (N	21. 18.1 23.	19.4 19	17.1	26.6		2.5				22.8	19.3
	(None) (N	) (at	ore)	(None		(None				(Nor	e)
33 34 35 36 37 265 266 269 270 273 267 268 271 272 275	Victor Disbrow Victor	isbrow Vic	Disbrow	Victor Dis		ictor   Dir				Victor	)isbrow
	35 36 37	38 - 36	40	41 4		13 4				47	48
268 271 272 275	273	274 27	278	281 2		85 2				293	294
	275	276 27	280	283 2		87   2				295	296
400 409 470 473	473	474 47	478	481 4		185 4				493	494
468   471   472   475	475	476 47	480	483 4		187 4				495	496

Table 12—Continued

	Paster	Pasteurized	Unpast	Unpastcurized	Pasteurized		Unpasteurized	urized	Pasteurized		Unpasteurized	urized	Pasteurized	il	Unpasteurize	urized
	June 16	16	June 16	16	June 18	18	June 18	18	June 19	19	June 19	19	June 23	: 23	June 23	23
Weight of cream	1100	557		539	006	503		49.5		616	_	574				895
Percent fat	27.5	27.5		27.5	24.5	24.5		24.5		26.5	_	26.5				26.5
Pounds fat	302.5	153.2		148.2	220.5	123.2		121.3		163.2		52.1		~		50.5
Acidity	0.46	0.46	0.5	0.5	0.54	0.54	0.50	0.59	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.52	0.46	0.46	0.48	0.48
Temperature	49.5	49.5		49.5	49	49		50		51		48				50
Hours held cold	3	3		v	3	3		ις		3		0.				w
Time to churn, minutes	45	35		40	27	23	_	25		30		20				30
Weight of buttermilk	725	370		370	635	360		350		425		400				390
Percent fat in	0.28	0.21		0.10	0.15	0.18		0.18		0.17		0.25				0.17
Founds fat in "	2.03	0.77		0.37	0.95	0.64		0.63		0.72		1.00				99.0
Temperature	26	22	_	55	88	28		28		58		58				57
Weight of wash water	725	370		370	635	360		350		425		400		-		390
Temperature wash water	29	48		48	29	49	_	49		20		20				48
Weight of salt	45	28.5		22	32	173		17.5		24		221				22
Kate per pound butter	2 oz.	24 oz.		2 oz.	2 oz.	2 oz.	_	2 oz.		2 oz.		2 oz.				2 oz.
Weight water to salt	99	33.5		33	48	26		26		30		28				27.5
Kate per pound butter	3 oz.	3 oz.		3 oz.	3 oz.	3 oz.		3 oz.		2 oz.		1 oz.		-		24 oz.
Number times worked	12	28		20	12	23		21		18	_	18				20
Temperature of butter	28	20	_	21	26	52		52.5		54		54				52
Weignt of butter	375	190		173.5	265	145		143		191		179				178
Fercent overrun	24.	24.	_	17.	20.1	17.7	_	17.8		17		17.7				18.2
weight starter	(2	(1)		<u> </u>	(5	32)	~	2)		8)	. 🔍	8)				(c
Churn used	Victor	Disbrow		Dishrow	Victor.	Disbrow		Disbrow		Disbrow	_	Disbrow				Disbrow
Churn number.	49	20		25	53	54		26		28	_	09				64
Tub numbers	297	298		302	305	306		310		314		318		_		326
	299	300		304	307	308		312		316	_	320				328
	497	498		502	202	206	_	510		514		518				528
	499	200	~	504	507	508	_	512		516		520				528
																-

Table 12-Continued

	Pasteurized	zed L	Unpasteurized	urized	Pasteurized	rized	Unpasteurized	urized	Paster	Pasteurized	Unpasteurized	urized	Paster	Pasteurized	Unpasteurize	urized
	June 25	25	June 25	25	June 26	26	June	26	June 30	30	June 30	30	July	y 1	July	y 1
Weight of cream.			730	534		541	_	536	750	450		450	700	535		523
Percent fat	25.5	25.5	25.	25.	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	27.5	27.5	27.5	27.5	24.	24.	24.5	24.5
Pounds fat.		_	82.51	33.5		132.5		131.3	2064	$123\frac{3}{4}$		$123\frac{3}{4}$	168	128.4	10	128
Acidity			0.57	0.57		0.46		0.50	0.45	0.45		0.50	0.49	0.49		0.55
Temperature			52	52		50		52	49	49		48	46	46		49
Hours held cold			S	22		7	_	4	3	3		S	12	12		14
Time to churn, minutes			22	25	_	30		:	25	25		30	38	40		$35\frac{1}{4}$
Weight of buttermilk			475	375		385		380	200	300		300	500	400		370
Per cent fat in "			0.15	0.15		0.18		0.18	0.07	90.0		0.11	0.13	0.1		0.09
Pounds fat in "			0.71	0.56		0.69		0.68	0.35	0.18	_	0.33	0.65	0.40		0.33
Temperature "			57	26	-	58		28	26	57		26	54	54		54
Weight of wash water			475	375		385		380	200	300		300	200	400		370
Temperature			28	48		48		48	29	20		20	61	49		46
Weight of salt.			271	$19\frac{1}{2}$	_	191		19	30	19		19	24	$18\frac{1}{2}$		$18\frac{1}{2}$
Rate per pound butter			2 oz.	2 oz.		2 oz.		2 oz.	2 oz.	2 oz.		2 oz.	2 oz.	2 oz.		2 oz.
Weight water to salt		_	41	24.3		24		23.5	45	24		24	30	181		$18\frac{1}{2}$
Rate per pound butter			3 oz.	.5oz.		2.5oz.		2.5oz.	3 oz.	3½ oz.	-	2½ oz.	2½ oz.	2 oz.		20z.
Number times worked			12	18		18		18	12	18		18	12	18		18
Temperature of butter			29	53		53		53	26	52		26	09	52		52
Weight of butter			224	159		157		155	244	144	_	144	199	156		52
Percent overrun			22.7	19.1		18.5		18.	18.3	16.4		16.4	18.5	21.5		18.8
Weight starter			(28	3)	OC.	(9		(98	Ü	<del>1</del> 2)	٠,	12)	C	50)		(0)
Churn used			Fictor	Disbrow	-	Disbrow	_	Disbrow	Victor	Disbrow	-	Dishrow	Victor	Disbrow		Dishrow
Churn numbers			67	89		70	_	72	73	74	_	97	77	78		80
Tub numbers			333	334		338	_	342	345	346		350	353	354		358
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :			335	336		340		344	347	348		352	355	356		360
3			533	534	_	538		542	545	546	-	550	553	554		558
***			535	536	_	540		544	547	548		552	555	556		260

Table 12 is a complete record of the method of making the 80 consecutive churnings of experimental butter, for comparison of pasteurized and unpasteurized cream, and of high and low water content In making butter in a creamery the general method need vary but little from this, except in respect to temperature of the water used in washing and the amount of salt and water added at time of salting. This butter was made during the months of May and June. perature of the cream and the length of time it was held before churning gave results that were desirable. The temperature of the wash water was constant, depending upon composition intended in the but-There was a difference in the general condition of the two lots of butter commensurate with the method of handling, but this difference was not sufficient to be detected when the butter was judged. The lots of butter made to contain the higher percent of water were rather soft when the working was completed and the low water butter very firm. This condition was due to the temperature of the wash water alone.

It is interesting to note that the sudden change of temperature in the butter, due to washing it with water at a much lower or a much higher temperature than that of the buttermilk, did not impair the finished workmanship. It was the unanimous opinion of the judges that the workmanship could not have been improved.

The range of temperature that should be employed in handling cream during the various stages until it is made into butter, cannot be stated definitely. The general method followed in Table 12 produces desirable results during the summer months in Illinois. The main change of temperature occurs as the season advances. This general method employed in salting the butter gives desirable results. objection is that salt is wasted; however, it is not necessary that 2 ounces of salt and 3 ounces of water per pound of butter be the stand-The presence of a given amount of water in the churn to dissolve the salt reduces the possibility of mottles. Mr. F. A. Jorgensen, Field Instructor in Dairy Manufactures, has in his work in the creameries, used less salt and water. This same method has been given a thoro trial in making butter in the University creamery and proves to be nearly as successful. In this method the amount of butter is estimated on the basis of butter fat in the cream plus one-sixth for over-To every one hundred pounds of butter add 8 to 10 pounds of salt and 8 to 12 pounds of water. Mix the granular butter, salt and water by revolving the churn on slow gear ten revolutions, then work the usual amount.

The only reason for advocating the two different methods of salting butter is that the creamery operator may have some standard. However, the skilled operator needs no better method than the one he has followed for years.

Increferring to churn 1 on May 6, it can be seen that the representative tubs packed were marked 201, 203, 401, and 403. These same tub numbers are used again in Table 13, also in Table 15, to show the amount of butter fat recovered in the butter made in churn 1. The

first average in Table 17 represents the first four churnings in Table 12 and the first sixteen tubs sampled and reported in Table 13.

Samples for analysis were taken from the churn, from four tubs packed from each churning and from two of these tubs from each

churning after storage.

It seemed best to give the results obtained by analyzing each sample together with averages in order that further data are furnished to show: (1) That uniformity of composition is possible regardless of the percent of water or fat intended to be put into the butter. (2) The sampling of four different tubs from the same churn does not always give samples having the same composition. (3) Variation in composition of butter when samples are taken from the butter in the churn and in the tubs before and after storage. It was not intended that butter with abnormally high, or with an illegal percent of water, be made, but a grade of butter that could be sold on any market.

The two lots of butter naturally did not have the same degree of firmness when packed into the tubs and the hard butter did not handle quite as well nor show as finished workmanship as the soft butter but there was not enough difference to affect its market or general condi-

tion for judging.

The results obtained by studying influence of temperature are not abnormal. Butter fat does not at all seasons of the year respond alike to the same temperature. This is not new information since creamery operators have long had an adjustable scale of temperature to suit seasons of the year. There is no excuse for illegal butter in any locality. It is true, that there are minor influencing factors to be recognized, all of which may be controlled by temperature.

Table 13. Composition of Butter in Eighty Consecutive Churnings. When Samples are taken from Churn; From Four Tubs from each Churning Twenty-four Hours Later, and from Two of these same

Tubs after Six to Seven Months in Storage

	Ch-	Sam	ples take	n from cl	nurn	For	ur tubs 24	hours la	ter	After	6 to 7 mc	nths in s	torage
Tub No.	Ch'n No.		Per	cen:			Perc	ent			Per	cent	
		Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein
201 203 401 403	1	15.28	80.81	2.77	1.14	13.93	83.45 83.28 82.98 83.27	2.22 2.25 2.29 2.24			82.56 83.52	2.69 2.48	0.80
202 204 402 404	2	13.09	83.17	2.82	0.92	12.50	84.70 84.25 84.02 84.37	2.43 2.47 2.52 2.39			85.83 85.46	2.48	0.64 0.79
205 207 405 405	•3	14.23	82.66	2.10	1.01	13.44	84.37 84.65 83.73 84.43	1.87 1.68 1.89 1.68			84.80 85.13	1.78	0.95
206 208 406 408	4	12.75	84.04	2.21	1.00	11.88	85.82 85.50 85.30 85.72	1.82 1.91 1.88 1.74			86.55 85.83	1.87	0.72 0.81
209 211 409 411	5	14.98	81.03	2.81	1.18	14.54	82.74 82.94 81.82 82.85	2.49 2.47 2.66 2.63			83.19 83.69	2.68 2.54	0.81 0.75
210 212 410 412	6	13.09	83.66	2.30	0.95	12.34	84.72 84.78 84.66 84.76	2.13 2.05 2.12 2.17			85.22 85.94	2.24 2.16	0.66 0.77
213 215 413 415	7	14.71	82.12	2.27	0.90	13.48	83.01 83.18 83.39 82.51	2.10 2.17 2.10 2.14		12.80 12.92		1.63 2.14	1.46
214 216 414 416	8	13.97	82.62	2.10	1.31		84.28 84.86	1.74 1.89 2.10 1.96		11.35		1.76 1.76	0.97 0.87
217 219 417 419	9	15.19	80.51	2.97	1.33	14.39 13.98 14.23 14.62	82.77 82.11	2.60 2.36 2.51 2.66		13.93 14.14		2.97 2.76	1.03 0.78
218 220 418 420	10	12.87	84.15	2.18	0.80	12.57 12.08 12.17 11.87	85.14 84.93	2.08 1.89 1.87 1.86		11.10 11.49		1.91	0.71 0.73

TABLE 13—Continued

Tub	Ch'n	Sam	ples take	n from c	hurn	Fo	ur tubs 24	hours la	ter	After	6 to 7 mo	nths in s	torage
No.	No.		Perce	ent			Per	cent	1		Perc	ent	
		Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein
221 223 421 423	11	15.51	80.42	3.00	1.07	15.03 14.93	81.02 81.09 81.21 81.34	2.86 2.71 2.66 2.74	1.17 1.20	12.95	82.16 83.54	2.87 2.57	0.96
222 224 422 424	12	14.42	82.24	2.13	1.21	13.51 13.32	83.86 83.53 83.95 83.96	1.80 1.84 1.71 1.73	1.12		84.12 84.96	2.04 1.83	0.90
225 227 425 427	13	15.57	80.06	3.24	1.13	14.15	82.03 81.88 81.89 79.94	3.02 2.67 3.00 3.54	1.16		82.63 82.60	3.09 2.95	0.80
226 228 426 428	14	13.29	83.82	2.03	0.86	12.42	85.52 85.54 85.00 84.98	1.86 1.87 1.91 1.97			85.85 85.77	1.92 1.94	0.66
229 231 429 431	15	16.05	80.33	2.61	1.01	14.45 14.92	82.86 82.38 81.80 81.47	2.22 2.28 2.45 2.49			82.83 83.67	2.36 2.15	0.85
230 232 430 432	16	13.87	83.61	1.78	0.74		85.32 84.64	1.51 1.44 1.59 1.49			86.'07 86.35	1.42	0.71 0.69
233 235 433 435	17	15.05	80.42	3.54	0.99	13.94	82.38	3.19 3.00 3.16 3.18			82.90 82.31	3.14 3.45	0.93
234 236 434 436	18	12.80	84.60	1.76	0.84	11.89	86.10 85.59 85.68 86.04	1.58 1.66 1.72 1.65			86.29 86.30	1.67 1.64	0.65 0.64
237 239 437 439	19	15.13	80.63	3.28	0.96			3.00 2.94 3.04 2.94		13.57 13.19		3.05 2.88	0.81 0.82
238 240 438 440	20	13.22	84.02	1.93	0.83	12.25 11.97 12.29 12.17	85.61 85.28	1.72 1.64 1.68 1.52		11.41	86.22 86.00	1.58 1.58	0.79 0.87
241 243 441 443	21	15.26	81.15	2.65		13.94 13.47 14.00 14.70	83.59	2.28 2.27 2.46 2.56		13.35 14.01		2.43 2.58	0.71

TABLE 13—Continued

Tub	Ch'n	Sam	ples take		hurn	For	ur tubs 24		ter	After (	to 7 mo	nths in s	torage
No.	No.		Perc	ent			Perc	ent			Per	cent	
		Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein
242 244 442 444	22	13.10	84.46	1.65	0.79	12.02 12.23	85.46 85.91 85.59 85.93	1.60 1.58 1.66 1.47		11.46 11.75	86.32 86.01	1.60 1.62	0.62 0.62
245 247 445 447	23	14.93	82.12	2.03	0,92	13.95 13.81	83.37 83.58 83.70 83.35	1.89 1.88 1.91 1.96	0.90 0.59 0.58 0.65	12.74 13.35	84.71 83.97	1.72	0.83 0.72
246 248 446 448	24	13.43	84.22	1.54	0.81	12.94 12.10	85.46 85.34 85.95 85.26	1.34 1.44 1.26 1.32		11.09 11.86		1.30 1.29	0.80
249 251 449 451	25	15.52	81.25	2.33	0.90	14.92 15.18	81.08 81.96 81.73 81.11	2.37 2.20 2.26 2.41		14.36 14.29	82.59 82.62	2.23 2.31	0.81
250 252 450 452	26	13.30	84.25	1.68	0.77	12.90 12.73	85.20 84.80 85.02 85.01	1.60 1.73 1.66 1.65			86.95 85.58	1.58 1.58	0.78
253 255 453 455	27	15.78	80.75	2.45	1.02	12.59 14.67	83.27 84.27 82.22 81.91	2.01 2.11 2.18 2.29		13.81 13.52	83.17 83.49	2.17	0.85
254 256 454 456		14.15	82.91	2.01	0.93	13.64 13.31	83.99 83.55 84.12 84.40	1.84 1.94 1.76 1.75		11.52 11.53	86.03 86.10	1.59	0.86 0.84
257 259 457 459		15.04	80.68	3.03	1.25	13.94 14.21	81.52 82.46 81.85 82.09	3.03 2.73 2.95 2.93	0.93 0.87 0.99 0.90		81.99 82.24	3.21 2.99	0.74
258 260 458 460		12.97	84.65	1.67	0.71	12.32 12.34	84.81 85.29 85.10 84.67	1.84 1.64 1.64 1.89			86.26 86.53	1.53 1.54	0.73 0.70
261 263 461 463		14.62	82.52	1.76	1.10	15.17 14.93	82.71 81.69 82.05 82.19	1.90 2.21 2.05 2.06	0.86 0.93 0.97 0.83		83.90 84.01	1.85	0.76
262 264 462 464		13.24	84.34	1.47	0.95	13.09 13.11	85.23 84.35 84.55 84.55	1.50 1.69 1.39 1.66	0.87 0.95		86.70 85. <b>9</b> 0	1.27	0.68 0.91

TABLE 13—Continued

	CI.	Samples take	en from cl	nurn	Fo	ur tubs 24	hours la	ter	After	6 to 7 mo	nths in st	orage
Tub No.	Ch'n No.	Per	cent			Pero	ent			Per	cent	
		Water Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein
265 267 465 467	33	15.0481.38	2.65	0:93	15.38	81.75 81.59 80.73 82.29	2.78 2.79 3.12 2.73			82.76 82.81	2.61 2.54	0.82
266 268 466 468	34	12.26 85.52	1.39	0.83	12.00	85.58 85.42 85.78 85.93	1.49 1.53 1.46 1.34			86.28 86.59	1.44	0.77
269 271 469 471		14.95 82.31	1.82	0.92	14.47 14.84	82.66 82.79 82.30 83.46	1.78 1.81 1.82 1.68			83.37 83.23	1.78 1.83	0.89
270 272 470 472		13.20 84.18	1.60	1.02	12.72	85.22 85.07 84.81 84.58	1.51 1.54 1.34 1.60			85.82 85.91	1.47 1.51	0.89
273 275 473 475		16.13 80.00	2.58	1.23	15.26 15.69	80.98 81.43 80.87 81.00	2.22 2.37 2.60 2.66	$0.94 \\ 0.84$		82.35 81.64	2.39	0.83
274 276 474 476		12.88 84.18	1.93	1.01	12.38	85.41 85.24 84.93 84.93	2.01 1.75 1.95			86.18 86.14	1.81	0.85
277 279 477 479		14.88 81.98	3 2.05	1.09	13.92 14.00	83.64 83.31 83.35 83.31	1.54 1.83 1.83 1.89			85.37 84.49	1.71	0.89
278 280 478 480	3	13.37 83.2.	2.26	1.12	12.10 12.18	84.71 84.91 84.93 84.76	1.86 2.01 1.94 2.18	0.98 0.95	10.91	86.61 86.28	1.71	0.83
281 283 481 483		16.64 79.59	2.72	1.05	16.65 16.36	81.38 79.73 80.13 80.36	2.36 2.86 2.79 2.67	0.76		82.49 82.26	2.45	0.73
282 284 482 484		12.69 84.5	7 2.05	0.60	12.62 12.03	85.56 84.67 85.48 85.66	1.95 2.24 1.96 1.92	0.47	10.55	86.97 86.96	1.79	0.67
285 287 485 487	5	15.37 81.60	2.07	0.96	15.24 15.30	81.10 81.83 81.80 82.14	2.36 2.23 2.39 2.19	0.70	14.09	82.38	2.14 2.01	0.87

TABLE 13—Continued

Tub	Ch'n	Sam	ples take	n from e	hurn	Fo	ur tubs 2	4 hours la	ater	After	6 to 7 mo	nths in s	torage
No.	No.		Perc	ent			Per	cent			Per	cent	
		Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein
286 288 486 488	44	13.59	83.58	1.81	1.02	13.23	84.51 83.73 84.07 84.45	1.84 1.97 1.89 1.89	0.69 0.92 0.81 0.63		83.86 85.88	2.02 1.61	0.80 0.80
289 291 489 491	45	15.66	80.89	2.82	0.63	14.56	82.58 81.60 81.83 81.52	2.49 2.73 2.44 2.59		14.09 14.40	82.61 82.20	2.46 2.56	0.84
290 292 490 492	46	13.50	83.11	2.75	0.64		84.36 85.00	2.26 2.07 2.20 2.15		11.28 11.06	85.8 <del>2</del> 86.04	2.13 2.05	0.77
293 295 493 495	47	15.44	81.60	2.35	0.61	15.10 14.47 14.85 14.82	82.63 82.33	2.16 1.90 2.05 2.05		14.03 13.65		2.10 1.95	0.94
294 296 494 496	48	13.32	83.13	2.86	0.69	12.08 12.07 11.97 11.74	84.60 84.67	2.32 2.34 2.33 2.29	1.00 0.99 1.03 0.97	10.21	86.81 87.23	2.02 1.98	0.96 0.88
297 299 497 499	49	15.73	80.29	2.91	1.07	15.24 15.42 14.86 15.48	80.62 81.55	2.30 2.93 2.62 2.80		14.98 14.07		3.01 2.84	0.96 0.89
298 300 498 500	50	13.89	81.06	3.90	1.15	12.79 13.01 13.28 12.82	82.40 82.17	3.51 3.74 3.66 3.70		12.25 11.80	83.38 84.04	3.40 3.32	0.97 0.84
301 303 501 503	51	15.74	80.42	2.94	0.90	14.80 14.94 15.33 14.74	81.57 81.00	2.61 2.63 2.81 2.38		14.94 14.99	81.28 81.20	2.82	0.96 0.93
302 304 502 504	52	13.31	84.10	1.85	0.74	12.65 12.85 12.85 13.00	84.56 84.54	1.71 1.71 1.58 1.63		11.97 11.51		1.67 1.58	0.89 0.89
305 307 505 507	53	15.55	81.61	2.04		15.34 15.28 15.45 15.25	82.02 81.63	2.03 1.74 1.86 1.92		13.93 14.33		1.71	0.91
306 308 506 508	54	13.22	84.44	1.79		12.77 13.35 13.12 13.82	84.24 84.45	1.60 1.56 1.56 1.58		11.86 11.86		1.47 1.52	0.77

TABLE 13-Continued

		Sample	s taken	from ch	urn	Fo	ur tubs 24	hours la	ter .	After	6 to 7 m	onths in	storage
Tub No.	Ch'n No.		Perce	ent			Pero	ent			Pero	ent	
		Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein
309 311 509 511	55	14.5982	2.83	1.77	0.81	14.96 14.15	83.52 82.44 83.43 83.37	1.49 1.79 1.57 1.61		13.39 13.14		1.51	0.90
310 312 510 512	56	13.3184	1.36	1.68	0.65	12.60 13.09	84.34 85.09 84.60 85.32	1.33 1.52 1.46 1.39		12.15 13.86		1.36	0.87
313 315 513 515	57	15.7481	1.05	2.51	0.70	15.52 15.37	80.06 81.15 81.34 81.58	2.46 2.25 2.20 2.26		13.50 14.22	83.47 82.58	2.15 2.20	0.88
314 316 514 516	58	13.0184	1.57	1.93	0.49	12.58	84.96 84.91 85.00 84.84	1.80 1.83 1.68 1.81		11.54 11.76	86.01 85.60	1.73 1.76	0.72
317 319 517 519	59	16.54 79	9.18	3.50	0.78	15.43 16.15	80.88 80.45 79.58 79.52	3.06 3.09 3.24 3.16			83.20 83.03	2.71 2.75	0.81 0.84
318 320 518 520	60	13.48 83	3.70	2.21	0.61	12.98 13.19	84.24 84.25 83.95 83.95	1.96 1.99 2.05 2.10		12.40 12.11		2.11	0.98 0.78
321 323 521 523	61	16.0680	0.39	2.69	0.86	16.44	80.97 81.61 79.63 80.71	2.46 2.62 2.73 2.68		14.47 14.09		2.36 2.23	0.95
322 324 522 524	62	14.49 82	2.18	2.68	0.65	13.62	83.61 83.11 83.22 82.66	2.33 2.40 2.47 2.45		12.39 12.10	84.56 85.02	2.12 2.05	0.93
325 327 525 527	63	15.49 81	1.38	2.33	0.80	15.58	81.91 82.53 81.27 81.85	2.15 2.06 2.24 2.22			83.03 84.57	2.14 1.68	0.95
326 328 526 528		13.3983	3.85	2.05	0.71	13.19 12.96	84.23 83.99 84.09 83.85	1.73 1.91 1.88 1.93		11.77 12.00	85.62 85.45	1.66 1.68	0.95
329 331 529 531		16.15 80	0.67	2.27	0.91	15.48 15.47	81.14 81.30 81.17 81.30	2.16 2.13 2.08 2.04	1.09 1.28	14.15	82.04 82.99	2.02 1.80	1.00

TABLE 13—Continued

	<b>a</b> 1	Samples take	en from churn	Fe	our tubs 2	hours la	ter	After	6 to 7 mo	nths in st	orage
Tub No.	Ch'n No.	Per	cent		Per	cent			Perc	ent	
		Water Fat	Salt Casei	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein
330 332 530 532		14.1482.23	2.69 0.9	13.3-	83.66 83.78 83.56 83.77	2.35 2.24 2.37 2.28		11.77 11.80	85.22 85.36	2.09	0.92
333 335 533 535	-	15.15 82.02	1.85 0.9	15.35	82.26 82.77 81.86 82.35	1.65 1.61 1.64 1.53			83.84 84.12		
334 336 534 536		12.94 84.42	1.88 0.7	12.57	84.16 84.18 84.64 84.94	1.87 1.68 1.76 1.52			86.14 86.78	1.43	0.85
337 339 537 539		15.85 81.02	2.19 0.9	15.33	80.63 81.38 81.03 81.74	2.25 2.00 2.09 2.15			84.00 83.18	1.64	0.96 0.95
338 340 538 540		13.59 83.59	1.98 0.8	12.9	84.43 84.65 84.49 84.50	1.82 1.74 1.84 1.80	0.86 0.76	11.68 11.68	85.97 85.94	1.57	0.78 0.79
341 343 541 543		14.94 82.22	1.99 0.8	14.60	82.74 81.81 82.40 82.96	1.63 1.96 1.80 1.65	1.14	12.51	84.39 85.17	1.55	0.89
342 344 542 544		13.2184.07	1.91 0.8	12.8	0 84.21 6 84.27 7 84.46 5 84.69	1.81 1.82 1.78 1.72	0.85	11.59	86.27 85.97	1.51	0.82
345 347 545 547		14.52 82.67	1.97 0.8	15.0	7 82.37 1 81.20 9 81.55 7 82.07		1.11	13.56	84.42 83.84	1.77	0.78 0.85
346 348 546 548		12.80 84.42	1.78 1.0	13.1	84.69 984.81 784.21 685.78	1.62 1.71 1.83 1.65	0.79	11.33	86.37 86.47	1.52	0.74 0.72
349 351 549 551		14.21 81.89	3.12 0.5	14.5	5 82.01 7 81.65 2 81.57 3 82.14	2.77 3.06 3.02 2.81			84.75 82.82	2.33	0.82
350 352 550 552		13.08 84.58	3 1.55 0.	12.80	2 85.29 7 85.04 0 84.94 3 84.95	1.49	0.75 0.77	11.41	86.19 86.43	1.32	0.84

TABLE 13—Continued

		Sam	ples taker	from cl	nurn	For	ur tubs 2	l hours la	ter	After 6	to 7 moi	nths in s	torage
Tub No.	Ch'n No.		Perc	ent			Pero	ent			Perc	ent	
		Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein	Water	Fat	Salt	Casein
353 355 553 555		15.15	82.26	1.69	0.90	14.83 15.30	82.15 82.67 82.38 81.87	1.54 1.52 1.46 1.80	0.98 0.86	13.36	83.64 84.40	1.47 1.32	0.87
354 356 554 556		13.59	83.85	1.77	0.79	13.96 13.76	83.61 83.26 83.57 83.84	1.64 1.57 1.79 1.66	1.21 0.88		85.71 85.90	1.32	0.81
357 359 557 559		14.14	83.46	1.63	0.77	14.69 14.04	83.12 82.52 83.34 83.08	1.63	1.16 1.16	12.71	85.00 85.04		0.88
358 360 558 560		13.19	84.64	1.41	0.76	13.38 13.35	84.11 84.38 84.45 84.15		0.93 0.91		85.81 85.88	1.23	0.81
Av.		14.32	82.03	2.25	0.90	13.71	83.34	20.80	0.88	12.62	84.55	1.98	0.85

## GENERAL SUMMARY OF TABLE 13

NT		Samples	taken fro	om	Differer	ce between
Number of samples analyzed		Churn	Tub 24 hours later	Tub after storage	Churn and tub	Tub before and after storage
		High m	oisture an			1
160 80	Percent water.	15.31	14.69	13.64	0.62	1.05
40 160 80	Percent fat	81.25	82.02	83.24	0.77	1.22
40 160 80	Percent salt	2.49	2.31	2.23	0.04	0.08
40· 160 80	Percent casein	0.95	0.95	0.89	0.00	0.07
		Low mois	ture and	high fat b	utter	
40 160 80	Percent water	13.32	12.74	11.60	0.58	1.14
40 160 80	Percent fat	83.81	84.66	85.86	0.85	1.20
40 160 80	Percent salt	2.02	1.84	1.73	0.18	0.11
40 160 80	Percent casein	0.84	0.81	0.81	0.03	0.00

A preliminary study of the composition of various samples of butter taken from the same churning or tubs of butter, gave a large amount of data which is verified in the above Table.

While analyses of samples taken to represent the same butter will not give like results, yet from previously accumulated data we know that the analysis of one sample properly taken will give the approximate composition of the butter in question. From Table 8, Influence of Pasteurization upon Composition of 1907 Butter, the average results show that the water content of all the samples taken from the 56 churnings is approximately one percent higher than the average of all the samples taken from the tubs before storage. The same degree of difference is found between samples of the butter taken from these same tubs after storage. Does this difference indicate that the variation is due to the method of sampling: to actual loss of water in packing; or a loss of water incident to storage? An average of the tub analyses furnishes a basis for calculating the amount of fat recovered in the butter. This was done for the 56 churnings in 1907. The final average for each churning day was 452.5 pounds of butter fat and of this amount 447.1 pounds were recovered, based on the average of the chemical analyses. The only loss of butter fat was in the butter-

Since butter fat in the cream as determined by the Babcock Test, corresponds so closely to butter fat recovered in the butter, as determined by chemical analysis of tub sample, it is reasonable to conclude that the tub sample quite accurately represents the average composition of the butter.

Table 14. Butter Fat Received, Churned and Recovered in the Butter in 56 Consecutive Churnings

Date	Lb.	Per-	But-		nds in e hurning		Lb.	Tub	Per-	Aver- age	Lb.
1907	re- ceived	cent fat	ter fat	Cream	Per- cent · fat	But- ter fat	ter made	No.	fat in each tub,	per cent fat in 2 tubs	fat re- covered
June 3	818	35.5	290.39	500 475	30.00 30.00	150.0 142.5	178.0 166.0	1 2	84.59 85.26		150.57 141.53
			290.39			292.5					292.10
June 4	1104	33.0	364.32	620 629	29.00 29.00	179.80 182.41	210.0 215.0	3 4	85.17 85.01		178.85 182.77
			364.32			362.21					361.62
June	1,239	31.5	390.29	385	26.00	100.10	117.0	7	84.98	85.09	99.479
7, 8	7			391	26.00	101.66	119.0	11	85.07 85.04	85.24	101.435
				350	27.00	94.50	113.0	12	85.44 84.63	84.36	95.326
				350	27.00	94.50	113.0	6 9 10	84.09 83.75 84.43	84.09	95.021
			390.29			390.76					391.26
June	1586	32.0	507.52	464	27.00	125.28	147.5	15 16	84.30 83.24	83.77	123.56
11, 12				506	27.00	136.62	154.0	19 20	84.85 85.47	85.16	131.146
				464	27.00	125.28	148.0	13 14	82.73 82.14		122.003
				464	27.00	125.28	141.0	17 18	85.09 84.30	84.695	119.419
			507.52			512.46					496.128
June 14, 15	1566	31.5	493.29	461	26.00	119.86	139.0	23	83.39		115.696
14, 15				513	26.00	133.38	150.0	24 27 28	83.08 84.38 84.88		126.945
				461	26.00	119.86	140.0	21 22	83.67 83.41	83.54	116.956
				451	26.00	117.26	138.0	25 26	82.27 82.14	82.205	113.442
			493.29			490.36					473.039
June	2210	32.5	718.25	620	28.00	173.60	211.0	31	84.35	84.445	178.178
18, 19				674	28.00	188.72	226.0	32 35	84.54 84.16	83.885	189.58
				620	28.00	173.60	209.0	36 29 30	83.61 84.15 84.07	84.11	175.789
				648	28.00	181.44	224.0	33 34	84 07 81.96 82.18	82.07	183.836
			718.25			717.36					727.383

TABLE 14—Continued

	1	,			DEL 1-	00111111			,	A	
	Lb.				nds in hurnir		Lb		Per-	Aver- age	Lb butter
Date	cream						But-	Tub		per	fat
1907	re-	cent		C	Per-	But-	ter	No.		cent	re-
	ceived	fat	fat	Cream	fat	ter fat	made		each tub	fat in 2 tubs	covered
					lat					- tubs	
June	1688	34.0	573.92	516	26.0	134.16	156.0	39	83.47	83.83	130.774
21,22		\				1		40	84.19		
				499	26.0	129.74	153.0	43	84.63	84.765	129.690
				516	26.5	136.74	162.0	37	84.90 82.79	93 245	134.856
			}	310	20.5	100.71	102.0	38	83.70	00.243	101.050
				503	26.5	133.295	159.0	41	83.19	83.465	132.709
								42	83.74		
			573.92			533.935					528.029
	(51	20.0	100 70	400			404 0		02 14		100 526
June 24	651	29.0	188.79	499	20.0	99.80	121.0	47 48	83.14 83.02	83.08	100.526
				452	20.0	99.40	104.0		83.95	84.005	87.365
						,		46	84.06	01.000	
			100.70			100.00					107 001
			188.79			190.20					187.891
June	1503	35.0	526.05	431	29.0	124.99	146.5		84.32	84.06	123.147
25, 26				<b>.</b>					83.80		
				521	29.0	151.09	179.0		84.65 84.64	84.645	151.514
				431	29.0	124.99	149.0	49	83.31	83.245	124.035
		-						50	83.18	00.210	
				430	29.0	124.70	147.0		84.23	84.44	124.126
								54	84.65		
			526.05			525.77					522.822
June	1440	034.	489.60	430	28.0	120.40	144	59	83.77	83.79	120.657
28, 29	1440	034.	109.00	430	20.0	120.40	144	60	83.81	00.19	120.037
				479	28.0	134.12	162	63	83.81	84.10	136.242
				420		100 10		64	84.39		440 640
				430	28.0	120.40	144	57	82.50 82.29	82.395	118.648
	1			425	28.0	119.00	144	61	82.34	82.545	118.864
								62	82.75		
			489.60			493.92					494.41
			ļ				ļ				
July 1	438	37.0	162.06	370	22.0	81.40	92	67	83.58	83.985	77.266
				364	22.0	80.08	92	68	84.39 79.95	79.755	73.374
	-			304	22.0	80.08	92	66	79.56	19.133	73.374
					ļ		\ <del></del>				
			162.06			161.48					150.640
July 2	1436	34.5	495.42	428	29.0	124.12	148	71	83.78	83.735	123.927
-								72	83.69		
				428	29.0	124.12	149	75	83.13 83.78	83.455	124.347
				428	29.0	124.12	149	76 69	81.88	81.860	121.971
								70	81.84		
				436	29.0	126.440	151	73	83.66		126.160
								74	83.44		
			495.42			498.80	ļ				496.405

TABLE 14—Continued

	Lb.	Per-	But-		nds in hurnin		Lb.		Per- cent	Aver- age	Lb.
Date 1907	cream re- ceiv ed	cent fat	ter fat	Cream	Per- cent fat	But- ter fat	but- ter made	Tub No.	fat in each tub	per- cent fat in 2 tubs	butter fat re- covered
July 5	1480	33.0	488.40	436	27.5	119.90	141	79 80	82.97 83.27	83.12	117.199
				444	27.5	122.10	145	83 84	84.28 84.32	84.30	122.230
				436	27.5	119.90	139	77	80.86 80.68	80.77	112.270
				454	27.5	124.85	151	81 82	81.47 81.50	81.485	123.042
			488.40			486.75					474.741
July 9, 10	1940	34.0	659.60	600	27.5	165.50	195	87 88	81.88 82.88	82.38	160.641
,, 10				606	27.5	166.65	196	91 92	83.85 84.36	84.105	164.845
				600	27.5	165.50	197	85 86	83.80 83.37	83.585	164.662
				- 600	27.5	165.50	198	89 90	82.30 82.72	82.51	163.369
			659.60			663.15					653.517
July	1798	29.0	521.42	515	26.0	133.90	156	95 96	84.31 84.21	84.26	131.445
12, 13				512	26.0	133.12	159	99	84.02 83.84	83.93	133 . 448
				515	26.0	133.90	162	93	81.64 81.79	81.715	132.378
				496	26.0	128.96	155	94 97 98	85.02 84.88	84.95	131.672
			521.42			529.88	,				528.943
July	1069	36.5	390.185	418	24.0	100.32	118.5		83.12	83.170	98.556
15, 16				405	24.0	97.20	112.0	107	83.22 84.03 83.72	83.875	93.940
				418	23.5	98.23	116.5	101	83.70 83.85	83.775	97.597
				408	23.5	95.88	114.0	105	82.66 82 96		94.403
			390.185			391.63					384.496
Total a	amount iled		7259.50			7241.16	8565.0				7154.43
Aver.	per day		453.71			452.57	535.3				447.15

## Comparison of Butter Fat Churned and Recovered in the Butter

The results obtained from these comparisons gave such interesting data in the 1907 experiments that it seemed best to collect additional data of a similar nature. The 1908 experiment offered an excellent opportunity for this work since it was conducted on a much larger scale, thus reducing the percent of mechanical error and giving more uniform results.

To obtain an accurate determination of the butter fat handled in each of the twenty comparisons, each delivery of milk and cream was tested, involving a fat determination of 1494 individual samples. The cream thus obtained for each churning day was placed in two ripeners a sample from which was tested in triplicate, from each vat before and after the starter was added. The cream in the ripeners was churned in four churnings from which sixteen representative tubs were packed.

Samples were taken for analysis from the churn and by trier from the tubs twenty-four hours later. The percent of fat found in these samples was in turn compared with the Babcock determination of fat

delivered on that respective day.

As an illustration, May 6, the amount of butter fat churned according to each patron's test, was 819 pounds; test of cream in the two ripeners before starter was added gave 809.4 pounds and the test after starter was added gave 807.8 pounds. All of this cream was churned in churnings Nos. 1 to 4. The churns contained 297, 192, 297 and 195 pounds of butter respectively, or a total of 981 pounds. Referring to Table 13, it can be seen that the sample from churn 1 contained 80.81 percent of fat, and the average percent of fat in the four tubs of butter was 83.24. The same method of determination was used in the other three churnings. The total pounds of butter fat recovered for the day was, according to samples taken from churns. 809.06 and from the tubs 826.35. The same system of checking was followed for each succeeding day.

Table 15. Butter fat Churned and Recovered in the Butter in 80 Consecutive Churnings

Date		fat chur ling to te			Pounds		fat recov g to sam		
	Each	Before	After	Ch'n No.	butter	Chu	rn	Four	tubs
1908	patron's delivery	starter starter was added added		140.	made	Percent fat in butter	Pounds fat in butter	Percent fat in butter	Pounds fat in butter
May 6	819	402.6 406.8	243.0 159.0 243.0 162.8	2 3	297 192 297 195	80.81 83.17 82.66 84.04	240:00 159.68 245.50 163.88	84.33 84.29	247.22 161.91 250.34 166.88
	819	809.4	807.8		981	82.52	809.06	84.23	826.35
May 9	962	466.6 469.6	291.5 177.5 297.0 176.8		348 214 354 210	81.03 83.66 82.12 82.62	281.98 179.03 290.70 173.50	84.73 83.02	287.38 181.32 293.89 177.85
	962	936.2	942.8		1126	82.17	925.21	83.52	940.44
May 13	953	482.0 474.8	319.0 167.6 313.5 165.3	10 11	382 197 382 199.5	80.51 84.15 80.42 82.24	307.54 165.77 307.20 164.07	85.01	313.73 167.47 310.03 167.22
	953	956.8	965.4		1160.5	81.39	944.58	82.58	958.45
<b>M</b> ay 15	894.5	446.0 444.5	297.0 151.5 297.0 150.0	14 15	360 178 356.5 178	80.06 83.82 80.33 83.61	288.21 149.20 286.37 148.82	85.26 82.12	293.15 151.76 292.75 151.33
	894.5	890.5	895.5		1072.5	81.36	872.60	82.88	888.99
<b>M</b> ay 19	945.2	477.8 472.8	308 167.4 308 664.4	19	376 193 375.5 190	80.42 84.60 80.63 84.02	302.38 163.28 302.76 159.63	85.85 82.23	308.05 165.69 308.88 162.12
	945.2	950.6	947.7		1134.5	81.82	928.05	83.26	944.63
May 22	957.5	953.7	313.5 161.3 313.5 169.8	22 23	386 197.5 390 205	81.15 84.46 92.12 84.22	313.24 166.80 320.26 172.65	85.72 83.50	319.76 169.29 325.65 175.27
	957.5	953.7	958.1		1178.5	82.56	972.95	84.00	989.95
May 26	1045.5	1059.34	346 185.5 346.5 180.8	27	424 223 420 215	81.25 84.25 80.75 82.91	344.50 187.88 339.15 178.25	85.00 82.91	345.43 189.55 348.22 180.62
	1045.5	1059.34	1059.3		1282	81.88	1049.78	82.99	1063.82

TABLE 15-Continued

		fat chur ing to te			:	Butter accordin	fat reco		
	Each	Before	After	Ch'n No.	Pounds butter	Ch	urn	Four	tubs
	patron's delivery	starter was added	starter was added	NO.	made	Percent fat in butter	Pounds fat in butter	Percent fat in butter	Pounds fat in butter
May 29	879.7	892.49	297.0 153.9 297.0 143.9	29 30 31 32	358 178 358 167	80.68 84.65 82.52 84.34	288.83 150.67 295.42 140.84	81.98 84.98 84.16 84.67	293.49 151.25 294.13 141.40
	879.7	892.49	891.8		1061	82.54	875.76	82.97	880.27
June 2	1056	1031.68	352 164.8 352 162.88	33 34 35 36	432.5 196.0 426.0 192.5	85.52 82.31	351.97 167.62 350.64 162.04	81.59 85.68 82.80 84.92	352.88 167.93 352.73 163.47
	1056	1031.68	1031.68		1247	82.78	1032.27	83.16	1037.01
June 5	1062.7	1063.04	352 176.32 352 182.72	37 38 39 40	433 210.5 421 214	80.06 84.18 81.98 83.25	346.66 177.20 345.13 178.15	83.40	351.03 179.20 351.11 181.54
	1062.7	1063.04	1063.04		1278.5	81.90	1047.14	83.13	1062.88
May 9	1161.6	1145.62	357.5 214.17 357.50 216.45		453 255.5 438 265.5	81.60	360.54 216.07 357.40 221.90	85.34 81.72	364.21 218.04 357.93 223.52
	1161.6	1145.62	1145.62		1412	81.86	1155.91	82.41	1163.70
May 12	1128.58	1088.64	352 198.72 352 185:6	45 46 47 48	428 237 432.5 221.5		346.21 196.97 352.92 184.13	82.29	350.45 200.45 355.90 187.65
	1128.58	1088.64	1088.32		1319	81.9	1080.23	82.99	1094.64
June 16	915.4	908.82	302.5 153.17 302.5 148.22	51	375 190 371 173.5	80.29 81.06 80.42 84.10	301.08 154.01 298.35 145.91	82.47 81.51	303.52 156.69 302.40 146.80
	915.4	908.82	906.39		1109.5	81.06	899.35	81.96	909.41
June 18	696.1	692.54	220.5 123.23 220.5 121.27	55	265 145 266 143	81.61 84.44 82.83 84.36	216.26 122.43 220.32 120.63	84.38 83.19	216.90 122.35 221.28 121.32
	696.1	692.54	685.50		819	82.98	679.64	83.25	681.85

TABLE 15—Continued

					10 00%				
	Butter							ered in b	
	accord	ing to	test:			accordi	ng to sam	ples take:	n from
Date		D . C	After	Ch'n	Pounds	Chı	ırn	Four	tubs
1908	Each	Before	starter	No.	butter made				1
	patron's	was	was	- ,	made	Percent	Pounds	Percent	Pounds
	delivery	added	added		ł	fat in	fat in	fat in	fatin
		l				butter	butter	butter	butter
June 19	909.2	900.93	291.50		351	81.05	284.48	81.03	284.41
			163.24		191	84.57 79.18	161.52	84.93	162.21 285.99
			291.50 152.11	60	357 179	83.70	282.67 $149.82$	80.11 84.09	150.52
	-000 2	200 02		-00				81.92	
T 0.2	909 2	900.93		-	1078	81.49	878.49		883.13
June 23	901.6	900.24	291.5 163.24	61	355 195	80.39 82.18	285.38 160.25	80.73 83.15	286.59 162.14
			291.5	63	341	81.38	277.50	81.89	279.24
	ļ		150.52		178	83.85	149.25	84.04	149.59
	901.6	900 24	896.70	-	1069	81.59	872.38	82.09	877.56
June 25	688.3	670.72		65	254.5	80.67	205.30	81.23	206.73
June 25	088.3	070.72	147.39		175.5	82.23	144.31	83.69	146.87
		}	175	67	224	82.02	183.72	82.31	184.37
			133.5	68	159	84.42	134.44	84.48	134.32
	688.3	670.72	659.89		813	82.17	667.55	82.69	672.29
June 26	763.26	751.50	245	69	294	81.02	238.19	81.19	238.70
•			132.54	70	157	83.59	131.23	84.52	132.69
			245	71	289	82.22	237.60	82.48	238.36
	: 		131.32		155	84.07	130.3	83.31	130.83
	763.26		753.80		895	82.39	737.3	.82.74	740.58
June 30		662.16	206.25		244	82.67	201.71	81.79	199.57
			123.75		144	84.42	121.56	84.87	122.21
		1	206.25		246	81.	201.45	81.84	201.32
			123.75		144	84.58	121.79	85.06	122.48
			660.00		778	83.10	646.51	82.98	645.58
June 30		598.81		77	199	82.26	163.69	82.27	163.72
			128.4	78	156	83.85	130.80	85.57	130.37
			171.5 128.13	79 80	202 152	83.46	168.59	83.02	167.70 128.09
	1056 1	500 0:				84.64	128.65	84.27	
	1256.4	398.81	596.03		709	83.46	591.73	83.20	_589.88
Gen.av									
each day	899.79	803 30	802 71		1076.15	82.90	883.43	82.94	892.57
uay	099.19	090.00	094.71	l	1070.13	02.90	000.40	02.74	374.31

The summary of Table 15 shows a remarkable coincidence between butter fat delivered, butter fat churned, and butter fat recovered in the butter. The average amount of butter fat received each day was, according to the patron's test, 899.79 pounds; test before starter was added 893.2 pounds and test after starter was added 892.71 pounds. The average butter fat recovered was, according to churn samples 883.43 and tub sample 892.57 pounds. The data are so arranged that each day's comparison is complete in itself except that on June 30, the 1256.4 pounds of butter fat were divided into two comparisons. The total butter made in this experiment was 21,523 pounds. An average for each day of 1076.15 pounds. The average percent butter fat in churn and tub samples were 82.09 and 82.94 respectively. Difference 0.83 percent.

Table 16. Overrun Obtained in Eighty Consecutive Churnings

	A A	According	to			According	to
Churn No.	Butter fat	Percent sample ta	of fat in ken from:	Churn No.	Butter fat	Percent sample ta	of fat in ken from:
	churned	Churn	Four tubs		churned	Churn	Four tubs
1	22.2	23.7	20.1	41	26.7	25.6	24.3
2	20.7	20.2	18.6	42	19.3	18.2	17.2
3	22.2	21.0	18.6	43	22.5	22.5	22.4
4	20.4	19.0	16.8	44	22.6	19.6	18.8
5	19.4	23.4	21.1	45	21.5	23.6	22.1
6	20.5	19.5	18.0	46	19.2	20.3	18.1
7	19.2	21.8	20.5	47	22.8	22.5	21.5
8	18.7	21.0	18.0	48	19.3	20.3	18.0
9	19.7	24.2	21.7	49	24.0	24.5	23.5
10	17.5	18.8	17.6	50	24.0	23.3	21.2
11	21.8	24.3	23.2	51	22.6	24.3	22.6
12	20.6	21.6	19.3	52	17.0	18.9	18.2
13	21.2	24.9	22.8	53	20.1	22.5	22.2
14	17.5	19.3	17.3	54	17.7	18.4	18.5
15	20.0	24.5	21.8	55	20.6	20.7	20.0
16	18.6	19.6	17.6	56	17.8	18.5	17.8
17	22.1	24.3	22.0	57	20.4	23.3	23.4
18	15.3	18.2	16.5	58	17.0	18.2	17.7
19	21.9	24.0	21.6	59	22.4	26.2	24.8
20	15.5	19.0	17.2	60	17.7	19.5	18.9
21	23.1	23.2	20.7	61	21.7	24.4	23.8
22	22.4	18.4	16.6	62	19.5	21.6	20.2
23	24.4	21.8	19.7	63	16.9	22.8	22.1
24	20.7	18.7	16.9	64	18.2	19.2	18.9
25	22.3	23.1	22.7	65	24.7	23.9	23.1
26	20.2	18.7	17.6	66	19.1	21.6	19.5
27	21.2	23.8	20.6	67	19.4	21.9	21.5
28	18.9	20.6	19.0	68	19.1	18.5	18.4
29	20.0	23.9	22.0	69	20.0	23.4	23.1
30	15.6	18.1	17.7	70	18.5	19.6	18.3
31	20.5	21.2	21.7	71	18.0	21.6	21.2
32	16.0	18.5	18.1	72	18.0	18.9	18.5
33	22.8	22.8	22.5	73	18.3	20.9	22.2
34	18.9	16.9	16.7	74	16.4	18.4	17.8
35	21.0	21.5	20.7	75	19.3	22.1	22.1
36	18.1	18.7	17.7	76	16.4	18.2	17.5
37	23.0	24.9	23.3	77	18.5	21.5	21.5
38	19.4	18.8	17.5	78	21.5	19.2	19.6
39	19.6	20.9	19.9	79	17.7	19.8	20.5
40	17.1	20.1	17.8	80	18.8	18.1	18.7
Averag	e				20.5	21.8	20.5

The above Table offers a striking comparison of the overrun obtained. The average overrun when based upon butter fat churned was 20.5 percent. The highest overrun for a single churning, No. 41, was 26.7 percent and lowest, in churning 20, which was 15.3 percent. In these same two churnings the composition in the butter packed in the tubs permitted an overrun of 24.3 and 17.2 percent respectively. The Table shows remarkable uniformity between butter fat churned and butter fat recovered. The only mechanical loss considered for each individual churning was the butter fat lost in the buttermilk. If the creamery operators are to use the overrun recorded in the above Table as standard, they must take the following facts into consideration:

1. The loss in handling the milk and cream until it reaches the

churn, must be reduced to a minimum.

2. The weight of butter packed must be the same as weight on bill of sale.

3. The butter fat paid for must be approximately the actual

amount received.

4. After allowing for the mechanical loss, the overrun should be consistent with the percent of fat actually in the butter. By referring to Table 15, May 26, the butter in the tubs from churning 25 contained 81.47 percent fat and churning 26 from the same vat of cream contained 85.00 percent of fat or a difference in fat content of 3.53 per cent.

The greatest factor influencing overrun obtained in creamery operation, is the sampling and testing of the milk and cream received. An error of one-tenth of one percent in testing 4.0 percent milk and one percent in 40 percent cream will alter the overrun 3 percent.

Table 17 is made up from Tables 15 and 16, in order to give a complete daily comparison of the butter fat received and churned, total

pounds of butter made, together with the respective overrun.

The final average overrun is the same when based upon butter fat churned and butter fat recovered, according to the percent of fat in samples taken from 320 tubs of butter representing 80 churnings. The overrun calculated from the percent of fat in the samples taken from the 80 churnings is 1.3 percent higher. This difference indicates that, either the reading of the Babcock bottle was high or the churn sample gave a percent of fat lower than is actually in the butter. It is safe to assume that in packing the butter into tubs a small amount of water is forced out which would cause a higher fat content in tub samples. The last column in this Table indicates the percent of overrun based upon butter fat churned after deducting the butter fat lost in the buttermilk. The actual overrun then is one-half of one percent higher than is possible according to butter fat analyses. Considering the large number of samples of cream tested and butter analyzed the results thus obtained are remarkably consistent.

TABLE 17. AMOUNT OF BUTTER FAT CHURNED; BUTTER MADE; OVERRUN OBTAINED AND POSSIBLE OVERRUN ACCORDING TO ANALY-SIS OF CHURN SAMPLES AND AVERAGE ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES FROM SIXTEEN TUBS FOR EACH DAY

	Butter fa	Butter fat churned according to	ording to	č	,		Percent	of overru	Percent of overrun according to	g to
Date 1908	Individual test	Test before starter was added	Test after starter was added	Churn No.	Founds butter made	Testafter starter was added	Percent fat in churn sample	Percent fat in tub sample	Percent mechan- ical loss	Mechanical loss in the buttermilk deducted
May 6	819.0	809.4	807.4	1-4	981.0	21.5	21.2	18.7	. 44	18.2
May 9	962.0	936.3	942.8	5-8	1126.0	18.4	21.7	19.7	69.	18.9
Jay 13	953.0	926.8	965.4	9-12	1160.5	20.2	22.8	21.0	04.	20.6
May 15	894.8	890.5	895.5	13 - 16	1072.5	19.7	22.9	20.6	.38	20.2
day 19	945.2	920.6	947.7	17-20	1134.5	19.6	22.8	20.1	.37	19.6
day 22	957.5	953.7	958.1	21 - 24	1178.5	23.0	21.1	19.0	.37	18.6
Aay 26	1045.5	1059.34	1059.3	25-28	1282.0	21.0	22.1	20.5	.38	20.0
Jay 29	879.7	892.49	891.8	29-32	1061.0	. 18.9	21.1	20.5	.38	20.0
une 2	1056.0	1031.68	1031.68	33-36	1247.0	20.8	20.8	20.2	. 18	20.0
une 5	1062.7	1063.04	1063.04	37-40	1278.5	20.3	22.1	20.3	. 25	20.0
une 9	1161.6	1145.62	1145.60	41 - 44	1412.0	23.2	22.1	21.3	.43	20.8
une 12	1128.58	1088.64	1088.32	45-48	1319.0	21.2	22.1	20.5	.41	20.0
une 16	915.4	908.82	906.39	49-52	1109.5	22.4	23.3	22.0	.47	21.4
une 18	696.1	692.54	685.50	53-56	819.0	19.4	20.5	20.1	.39	19.6
une 19	909.2	900.93	898.35	57-60	1078.0	20.0	22.7	22.0	.54	21.4
une 23	901.6	900.24	896.76	61 - 64	1069.0	19.2	22.5	21.8	.58	21.1
une 25	688.3	670.72	689.89	65-68	813.0	20.9	21.8	20.9	.55	20.2
une 26	763.26	751.50	753.86	69 - 72	895.0	18.7	21.3	20.8	.54	20.2
une 30	1256.4	622.16	00.099	73-76	778.0	17.5	20.3	20.5	.24	20.2
		598.81	596.03	77-80	709.0	18.9	19.8	20.2	.33	19.8
otal	17,995.84	17,863.83	17,853.84		21523.0					
Average						2000	0 + 5	200	-	0 00

Table 18. Composition of Butter when Samples are Taken from Churn; from five Tubs 24 Hours later and from one of these Tubs Melted

	Chi	ırn samp	ole	Ave	rage 5 tu	ıbs	M	elted tul	)
Ch'n No.		Percent			Percent			Percent	
.,	Water	Fat	Salt	Water	Fat	Salt	Water	Fat	Salt
41	16.64	79.59	2.72	15.99	80.56	2.65	16.48	79.95	2.90
42	12.69	84.57	2.05	12.05	85.37	2.01	11.95	85.35	2.07
43	15.37	81.60	2.07	15.45	81.78	2.26	15.62	81.28	2.36
44	13.59	83.58	1.81	13.04	84.34	1.85	12.52	84.29	1.89
45	15.66	80.89	2.82	14.68	82.00	2.53	15.49	80.85	2.82
46	13.50	83.11	2.75	12.26	84.74	2.19	12.70	84.07	2.49
47	15.44	81.60	2.35	14.73	82.36	2.04	15.18	81.78	2.34
48	13.32	83.13	2.86	11.95	84.76	2.31	12.49	84.01	2.57
49	15.73	80.29	2.91	15.70	81.04	2.74	15.42	80.71	3.07
50	13.89	81.06	3.90	13.01	82.45	3.58	13.21	82.26	3.69
51	15.74	80.42	2.94	14.98	81.44	2.60	15.66	80.50	3.00
52	13.31	84.10	1.85	12.83	84.37	1.68	13.21	84.22	1.90
			Av	erage of	all compa	arisons			
	14.56	81.99	2.50	13.84	82.95	2.37	14.20	82.44	2.59
	Av	erage of	the six	churning	gs of high	water	and low	fat butte	r
	15.76	80.73	2.63	15.25	81.53	2.46	15.64	80.84	2.39
	Av	erage of	the six	churning	gs low wa	ater and	high fat	butter	
	13.36	83.60	2.37	12.52	84.34	2.27	12.77	84.03	2.21

Table 19. Composition of Butter when Samples are taken by means of a trier from Tub 24 Hours after it was Packed; from same Tub, Frozen, after Six to Seven Months in Storage and from same tub Melted

Tub		4 hours packing	after	Fro	zen stora	ige	М	elted tul	0
No		Percent			Percent			Percent	
	Water	Fat	Salt	Water	Fat	Salt	Water	Fat	Salt
281 282 285 286 291 290 295 296 299 298 301	15.28. 11.86 15.85 12.96 15.10 12.65 14.47 13.32 15.42 12.79 14.80	81.38 85.56 81.10 84.51 81.52 84.66 82.63 83.13 80.62 82.71 81.62	2.36 1.95 2.36 1.84 2.59 2.26 1.90 2.86 2.93 3.51 2.61	14.33 10.57 14.60 13.32 14.40 11.28 13.65 9.91 14.07 12.25 14.94	82.49 86.97 82.38 83.86 82.20 85.82 83.53 87.23 82.20 83.38 81.28	2.45 1.79 2.14 2.02 2.56 2.13 1.95 1.98 2.84 3.40 2.82	14.18 10.88 14.64 12.36 14.56 11.54 14.32 10.60 14.72 11.95 14.76	82.54 86.63 82.52 85.07 81.99 85.47 82.58 86.36 81.71 83.76 81.26	2.58 1.64 2.17 1.75 2.70 2.28 2.27 2.18 2.88 3.41 2.83
302	12.65	84.76	1.71	11.97	85.47	1.67	12.29	85.07	1.74
			Ave	rage for a	all compa	risons			
	13.93	82.87	2.40	12.94	83.90	2.31	13.07	83.74	2.37
_	A	verage o	f the six	churnin	gs of hig	h water	and low	fat	
	15.10	81.48	2.46	14.33	82.34	2.46	14.53	82.10	2.57
	A	verage o	f the siz	churnin	gs of low	water	and high	fat	
	12.70	84.22	2.35	11.55	85.45	2.16	11.00	85.39	2.17

Tables 18 and 19 show why samples of butter taken for analysis do not always agree, even when representing the same churning of butter.

From the 80 churnings recorded in Table 12, one extra tub was packed from each churning numbered 41 to 52. The analyses under churn samples, Table 18, are the same as recorded in Table 13 for these same churnings. The analyses recorded under average five tubs, are the average of the analyses recorded in Table 13 for the four tubs from each churning together with the extra tub that was packed from each of the 12 churnings. This extra 30 pounds was melted by placing the butter in a milk can, tightly covered. The results obtained by analyzing the sample taken from melted butter show actual composition of that quantity of butter. The average water content of the 12 tubs melted butter was in this case 0.36 of one percent higher than that of the average of the trier samples taken from 60 tubs, and 0.36 of one percent lower than the average of the 12 samples taken from the 12 churns.

In Table 19 there is more of a uniformity between trier and melted sample due to frozen condition of butter when the samples were taken. These tubs are the same as recorded in preceding tables and used in the regular experimental work. They belong to churnings 41 to 52. When all the tubs were brought out of the storage room in Chicago they were sampled while the butter was still frozen and the result of analyzing the 160 samples is recorded in Table 13. The 12 tubs to be melted were shipped to Urbana and the samples obtained from the melted tubs in the same manner as for Table 18. The average of the samples taken from the 12 melted tubs was 0.13 of a percent higher than the trier samples. Trier samples taken after storage contained an average of 0.99 of a percent less water than the average of the samples taken from the same tubs before storage. This decrease in water content during storage is nearly the same as the average decrease of the 106 tubs which was 1.09 of one percent.

Table 20. Effect of Composition upon Quality, Based on the Scores by Five Different Judges

				Pas	teuriz	ed Butt	er				
	Hig	h mois	ture a	nd low	fat	-	Lo	w moi	sture ar	id high	fat
Judges	No.	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Churn No.	Tub No.								· <u> </u>		
1	201 203	93. 93.	94. 92.	92.75 91.5	93.5 93.	92.5 93.					
2	202 204						93. 93.	93. 92.5	92.25	93.5 93.5	93. 93.
5	209 211	93. 93.	94. 93.	92. 91.7	93.5 593.5	93. 92.					
6	210 212						92. 93.	93. 93.	91. 92.75	93. 93.	93. 93.
9	217 219	92. 91.		92.75 92.5	92. 92.5	90.5 90.5					
10	218 220						91.5 91.5		92.25	92 90.	92.5 90.5
13	225 227	92.5 91.5		91.5 92.9		91.5 92.					
14	226 228						93. 92.5		92.75 92.	92.5 93.	92. 92.
17	233 235	93. 92.	93. 92.	92. 92.	92. 93.5	92. 93.					
18	234 236						93. 92.	92.	92. 92.75	92. 93.5	92. 90.5
21	241 243	93. 93.	93.5	92.5 92.	93.5						
22	242 244						93. 93.	91. 93.	92. 92.	93. 93.5	92.5 92.5
25	249 251	93.5 93.	92. 93.	91. 93.	93. 9 <b>2</b> .5	92.5 93.		•			
26	250 252						93. 93.	93.5		93.5 93.	92. 92.5
29	257 259	93. 93.	92.5 93.	92.5 92.5	93. 92.	92. 91.5					
30	258 260						93.5 93.	90 93.	92.5	93. 93.	90.5 92.
33	265 267	92. 92.5	93. 92.5	91. 90.5	92. 92.	92.5 92.5					
34	266 268						91.5 92.5		92.25 92.75	92.5 93.	92.5 92.5
37	273 275	93. 92.5		91.75 91.75		92.5 92.					
38	274 276						93. 93.	93. 92.	92.5 92.5	93.5 92.	92.5 92.5
41	281 283	93.	92.5		92. 93.	93. 92.					
43	282 284						93. 93.	91.	92. 92.	92. 92.5	92.5 93.

TABLE 20—Continued

				Pa	steuriz	ed But	ter					
	Hig	h mois	ture ar	nd low	fat		Lo	w m	oistu	ıre ar	id high	fat
Judges	No.	1	2	3	4	5	1	2		3	4	5
Churn   No.	Tub No.											
45	289 291	93. 93.	91. 90.5	91. 92.5	90. 93.	92.5 92.5						
46	290 292				7		93. 93.	90. 91.		92. 92.	93. 93.	92. 92.5
49	297 299	93. 92.5	91.5 93.	92. 91.5	92. 93.	92.5 92.						
50	298 300						92.5 93.	92 92		92. 91.	92. 89.	92. 92.5
53	305 307	93.5 93.	90.5 90.5	93. 93.	86. 90.	93 93.				-		
54	306 308						93. 93.	91 92		92.5 92.5	92. 90.	93. 92.5
57	313 315	93. 93.	92. 92.5	93. 93.	93. 93.	92.5 92.5						
58	314 316		V				93.5			2.5	93. 92.	92. 92.5
61	321 <sub>1</sub> 323	93. 92.5		91.75 92.	92. 92.	92.5 92.						
62	322 324						93. 93.	91		92.5	93. 87.	92.5 92.5
65	329 331	91. 91.	88. 86.	90.5 91.	87. 87.	90.5 90.5	!					
66	330 332						91.5 92.	86 85		91. 91.5	86. 86.	90. 90.
69	337 339	93. 93.5	93. 92.5	92.7 92.5	592. 93.	92.5 92.						
70	338 340						93.	93 93		2.75 1.75	93. 93.	92.5 92.5
73	345 347	93. 93.	93. 93.	92. 92.5	93. 93.	93. 92.						
74	346 348						93.	91		2.25	92. 93.	93. 92.
77	353 355	93. 93.	91.5 89.5		92.5 92.5	92.5 92.						
78	354 356						91.	92		93. 92.	93. 92.5	93. 92.

Table 20—Continued

				Unp	asteui	rized Bu	itter				
	Hig	h mois	ture a	nd low	fat		Low	mois	ture and	l high	fat
Judges	No.	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Churn No.	Tub No.										
3	205 207	93. 93.	94. 93.	93. 91.	94. 93.	93.5 93.5					
4	206 208						93. 92.5		92.25 92.75	92. 93.	93. 92.5
7	213 215	93. 92.5	90. 92.	91. 91.	93. 92.	92. 92.5					•
8	214 216						93. 92.	91. 93.	91. 92.	93.5 93.5	92. 92.
11	221 223	92. 92.	92. 92.5	90. 92.	93.5 92.	92. 91.					
12	222 224						92.5 92.5	93. 91.5	91. 93.	93.5 93.	93. 92.
15	229 231	93. 93.5	93. 90.5	91.5 90.5	93. 93.	92. 90.5					
16	230 232						93. 92.5	92. 93.5	91.5 91.	93. 93.5	92. 92.5
19	237 239	93. 93.	93. 92.	92.5 91.5	93. 92.	92.5					
20	238 240						93.5 93.	93. 92.	92.5	93. 93.5	92.5 92.5
23	245 247	93. 93.	93.5 94.	92.5 93.	93. 94.	93.5 93.5					
24	246 248						92.5 93.	90. 92.5	92. 92.5	90. 92.	92.5 93.
27	253 255	93. 93.	93.5 93.	92.5 92.5	93. 92.	93.					•
28	254 256						93. 93.	93.	91.5 92.5	93. 93.5	92.5 92.
31	261 263	93. 92.5	93. 93.5	92.5 91.75		92. 93.					
32	262 264						93. 93.	93. 92.	91.5 92.	93. 90.	92. 92.
3.5	269 271	92. 92.	93. 93.	91. 92.25	88. 93.	92. 92.5					
36	270 272						93. 93.	92. 93.	92.75 91.75	92. 93.	92. 92.5
39	277 279	92.5 93.	91.5 93.	92. 91.	93.5 92.	92.5 93.					
40	278 280						93. 93.	93.5 93.	92.75 $92.75$	93. 93.	93. 93.
43	285 287	92.5		91.5 92.	87. 92.	92. 92.5					
44	286 288			-			93.	93. 92.	92.25 5 91.75	93. 93.	92.5 92.5

TABLE 20—Continued

				Pas	teuriz	ed But	ter				
	High	h mois	ture a	nd low	fat		Lo	ow moi	sture a	nd higl	n fat
Judges	No.	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Churn No.	Tub No.										
47	293 295	93. 92.5	93.5 93.	93. 92.5	93.5 93.	93. 92.					
48	294 296						92. 93.	93. 92.	92.5	93 . 93 .	91.5 92.5
51	301 303	92. 92.5	92.5 93.	93. 91.75	89. 87.	92. 92.5					
52	302 304						93. 93.	93.	91.5 91.5	92. 93.	92.5 92.5
5.5	309 311	93 93.	92. 93.	92.5 91.	93. 92.5	93. 93.					
56	310 312						93. 93.	92.5 92.	92.5 92.	92. 93.	92.5 93.
59	317	94. 94.	93. 93.	92.25	92.5 90.	92.5 92.5					
60	318 320						93.5		92.5 92.	93. 92.	92.5 93.
63	325	93. 93.	93. 93.	92. 92.75	92. 93.	92.5 93.					
64	326 328						93.5		91.75 91.5	92. 87.	92.5 93.
67	333 335	91. 90.5	92. 91.5	90.5	87. 86.	90.5 90.					
68	334 336						90.5	90. 91.5	90. 91.	86. 89.	90. 90.5
* 71	341 343	93.5 93.	91. 93.	91.5 92.75	93. 93.	92.5 93.					
72	342 344						92.	92. 92.	91.5 90.5	92.5 92.	92.5 93.
75	349 351	93. 93.	93.5 93.	92.5 92.	92. 93	93. 92.5					
76	350 352						93.	93. 93.	90.5	93. 93.5	92. 92.5
79	357 359	92.5 93.5	92.5 93.	92.5 92.5	92.5 87.	92.5 92.5					
80	358 360						93.	93. 93.	93. 92.5	92. 92.	92. 92.
Average	)	92.75	92.71	91.91	91.7	92.4	92.78	92.41	91.88	92.25	92.3

Table 21. Effect of Composition on Quality of Butter for immediate consumption, based upon average of all Scores for Each Day's Make, as recorded in Table 20

	Paster	ırized			Unpaste	urized	
Churn No.	High water	Churn No.	Low water	Churn No.	High water	Churn No.	Low water
1 5 9 13 17 21 25 29 33 37 41 45 49 53	92.82 92.87 91.42 91.80 92.45 92.65 92.50 92.20 92.30 91.90 92.30 91.55 92.75	2 6 10 14 18 22 26 30 34 38 42 46 50 54 58	92.92 92.77 91.42 92.22 92.07 92.60 92.30 92.35 92.35 92.25 91.80 92.25 92.62	3 7 11 15 19 23 27 31 35 39 43 47 51 55 59	93.05 91.90 91.90 92.05 92.45 92.30 92.85 92.42 91.87 92.40 91.70 92.90 91.52 92.60 92.62	4 8 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 44 48 52 56	92.55 92.30 92.50 92.45 92.75 92.00 92.65 92.15 92.50 93.00 92.65 92.40 92.55
61 65 69 73 77	92.27 89.25 92.67 72.75 92.05	62 66 70 74 78	91.90 88.95 92.70 92.32 92.25	63 67 71 75 79	92.72 89.95 92.82 92.75 92.10	64 68 72 76 80	91.97 90.05 92.10 92.60 92.55
Average .	92.17		92.16		92.29		92.34

Table 22. Effect of Consumption on Quality of Butter in Storage Based upon Average of eight Scores by four Judges on Each Day's Make

	Paster	ırized		Unpasteurized						
Churn No.	High water	Churn No.	Low water	Churn No.	High water	Churn No.	Low water			
1 5 9 13 17 21 25 29 33 37 41 45 49 53 57 61 65 69	91.81 92.06 90.00 91.37 91.75 91.32 91.06 91.28 91.81 91.25 90.37 91.62 91.32 91.50 90.87 89.82	2 6 10 14 18 22 26 30 34 38 42 46 50 54 58 62 66 70	92.31 91.68 91.18 91.00 91.37 91.75 91.40 90.93 91.62 91.50 91.37 91.37 91.37 91.37 91.38	3 7 11 15 19 23 27 31 35 39 43 47 55 59 63 67 71	91.00 91.00 90.50 91.12 91.37 91.31 91.37 90.62 90.68 90.50 90.68 91.06 91.50 90.12 90.87 89.62 91.31	4 8 12 16 20 24 28 36 40 44 48 52 56 60 64 68 72	91.75 90.50 90.68 91.25 90.81 90.00 88.81 90.50 91.37 90.31 90.43 91.56 91.56 91.56 91.56 91.56			
73 77 Average .	91.43 90.87 91.22	74 78	91.31 89.43 91.18	75 79	91.43 89.06 90.81	76 80	90.93			

Inasmuch as considerable data were at hand concerning composition and its relation to quality, the above data are presented. The same scores are used in another bulletin.

The number of churnings and tubs are the same as recorded in preceding tables. The 160 tubs of butter were scored July 14, 1908. The first tubs of butter were made May 6, and the last lot July 1. Each judge worked independently and duplicate tubs were not known. Table 21 is a summary of Table 20 based upon average of the ten scores placed upon the two tubs of butter representing the same churning. In Table 13, the butter in every other churn beginning with one has a higher water content than the butter in the succeeding churn. Hence, 40 churnings of butter made from pasteurized and unpasteurized cream of a higher water content are compared with 40 churnings of butter made from corresponding lots of cream, with a lower water content. Table 22 is the same comparison except that the averages for the butter from each churn are based upon the scores by four judges, and placed upon the 160 tubs of butter January 13, 1909, or six months after first scoring.

Average of all scores showed no difference in quality. On a certain day the butter made to contain the higher water content might receive the highest average score, while the opposite would be true on

another day.


Reasonable variation in composition does not affect quality.








• • \*





UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS-URBANA

3 0112 078530349